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#### Abstract

In this paper we study the local properties of the fractional anisotropic Wiener field $\left\{B^{(\alpha)}(t): t \in R^{d}\right\}$, where $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right), 0<\alpha_{i}<2$. It is proved that, with probability 1 , the realizations of the field $B^{(\alpha)}$ over any cube $Q \subset R^{d}$ belong to the anisotropic Hölder class with parameter $\alpha / 2$ in the Orlicz norm corresponding to the Young function $\mathscr{M}_{2}=\exp \left(t^{2}\right)-1$. Other supporting spaces are treated as well. Moreover, the box dimension of the graph of the realization of $B^{(\alpha)}$ has been calculated; it is proved that, with probability 1 , the box dimension of the graph of the realization of $B^{(\alpha)}$ over any cube $Q \subset R^{d}$ is equal to $d+1-\kappa / 2$, where $\kappa=\min \left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right)$.


1. Introduction. By the fractional anisotropic Wiener field with the multidimensional parameter $\alpha$, where $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right), 0<\alpha_{i}<2$, we mean a Gaussian field $\left\{B^{(\alpha)}(t): t \in R^{d}\right\}$, with continuous realizations, $E B^{(\alpha)}(t)=0$, and the covariance kernel

$$
E B^{(\alpha)}(t) B^{(\alpha)}(s)=K_{\alpha}(t, s), \quad \text { where } K_{\alpha}=K_{\alpha_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes K_{\alpha d}
$$

and for $0<\alpha<2, K_{\alpha}$ is the covariance kernel of one-dimensional fractional Brownian motion with parameter $\alpha$, i.e.

$$
K_{\alpha}(t, s)=\frac{1}{2}\left(|t|^{\alpha}+|s|^{\alpha}-|t-s|^{\alpha}\right) .
$$

The aim of this paper is to study the local properties of $B^{(\alpha)}$. It is proved that, with probability 1 , the restrictions of realizations of $B^{(\alpha)}(\cdot)$ to any cube $Q \subset R^{d}$ fulfill multiply Hölder conditions with parameter $\alpha / 2$ in the Orlicz norm corresponding to the Young function $\mathscr{M}_{2}=\exp \left(t^{2}\right)-1$. The detailed calculations are presented for the cube $I^{d}$. The same arguments applied to the dilated and shifted field $\left\{\varrho^{|\alpha|} B^{(\alpha)}\left(\varrho^{-2} t-c\right): t \in I^{d}\right\}\left(\varrho>0, c \in R^{d}\right)$ give the result for the arbitrary cube $Q \subset R^{d}$.

[^0]The one-dimensional problem was recently discussed in the papers [1] (for $\alpha=1$ ) and [5] (for all $0<\alpha<2$ ). The analogous problem for the isotropic fractional Lévy's field on $R^{d}$ was considered in [3], and the case of the fractional Lévy's field on the $d$-dimensional sphere was studied in [4].

The method used to obtain the results for the field $B^{(\alpha)}(\cdot)$ (Theorem 2.1) reminds the method from the papers mentioned above: at first, we obtain the characterization of the function spaces in terms of the coefficients of the expansion of a function in some basis (here we consider the basis consisting of tensor products of Schauder functions), and then we prove that the coefficients of the expansion of $B^{(\alpha)}$ satisfy these conditions with probability 1.

In the last part of the paper (Section 5, Theorem 5.1) the box dimension of the graph of the realization of $B^{(\alpha)}$ is calculated. The upper estimate follows from the regularity of $B^{(\alpha)}$, but to get the lower estimate we have to study the coefficients of the expansion of $B^{(\alpha)}$ in the so-called multiaffine (or diamond) basis. This method comes from [2], and was used in [3] and [4] to calculate the box dimension of the graph of the realization of the isotropic fractional Lévy's field on $R^{d}$ and on the sphere.
2. Function spaces and fractional Wiener field. Let us start with some notation: $I=[0,1]$ and for $d \in N=\{1,2, \ldots\}$ put $\mathscr{D}=\{1, \ldots, d\}$; given a vector $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right) \in R^{d}$ and $A \subset \mathscr{D}$ put $a(A)=\left(\tilde{a}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{a}_{d}\right)$, where $\tilde{a}_{i}=a_{i}$ if $i \in A$, and $\tilde{a}_{i}=0$ if $i \notin A$; in addition, put $|a|=\left|a_{1}\right|+\ldots+\left|a_{d}\right|$. Moreover, for two vectors $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right) \in R^{d}$ and $b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{d}\right) \in R^{d}$ we write

$$
\boldsymbol{a} \leqslant \boldsymbol{b} \text { iff } a_{i} \leqslant b_{i} \text { for all } i \in \mathscr{D} \quad \text { and } \quad \boldsymbol{a}<\boldsymbol{b} \text { iff } a_{i}<b_{i} \text { for all } i \in \mathscr{D}
$$

in addition, we use

$$
a^{b}=\prod_{i=1}^{d} a_{i}^{b_{i}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{a}=\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{1}{a_{d}}\right)
$$

We will also need the notation

$$
0=(0, \ldots, 0) \in R^{d}, \quad 1=(1, \ldots, 1) \in R^{d} .
$$

By $L_{p}\left(I^{d}\right), 1 \leqslant p<\infty$, we denote the space of functions integrable on $I^{d}$ with exponent $p$, and $C\left(I^{d}\right)$ is the space of continuous functions on $I^{d}$. By $L_{\mathcal{M}}\left(I^{d}\right)$ we denote the Orlicz space on $I^{d}$, corresponding to the Young function $\mathscr{M}$, with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{\mathscr{M}}=\sup \left\{\int_{I^{d}} f(x) g(x) d x: \int_{I^{d}} \mathscr{M}^{*}(g(x)) d x \leqslant 1\right\},
$$

where $\mathscr{M}^{*}$ is the complementary Young function to $\mathscr{M}$. For the general theory of Orlicz spaces we refer, e.g., to [11].

We are interested in some special family of Young functions. Namely, let for $\gamma>0$

$$
\mathscr{M}_{\gamma}(u)= \begin{cases}\exp \left\{|u|^{\gamma}\right\}-1 & \text { for } 1 \leqslant \gamma<\infty \\ E_{\gamma}(u)-E_{\gamma}(0) & \text { for } 0<\gamma<1\end{cases}
$$

where $E_{\gamma}(-u)=E_{\gamma}(u)$ is the extension of the convex part of $\exp \left\{u^{\nu}\right\}$ on $\left(u_{\gamma}, \infty\right)$ by its tangent line at $u_{\gamma}>0$, and $u_{\gamma}$ is the point at which the function $\exp \left\{u^{\nu}\right\}$ changes the concavity to the convexity. For these Young functions there is an equivalent norm on $L_{M_{\nu}}\left(I^{d}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\boldsymbol{M}_{\gamma}}^{*}=\sup _{p \geqslant 1} \frac{\|f\|_{p}}{p^{1 / \gamma}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the equivalence of the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{M}_{\nu}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\boldsymbol{M}_{\nu}}^{*}$ see [8] or [1].
For $f: I^{d} \rightarrow R, i \in \mathscr{D}$ and $h \in R$, the progressive difference in direction $e_{i}$ (where $e_{i}=\left(\delta_{1, i}, \ldots, \delta_{d, i}\right) \in R^{d}$ denotes the $i$-th coordinate vector in $R^{d}$ ) is defined by the standard formula

$$
\Delta_{h, i} f(x)= \begin{cases}f\left(\boldsymbol{x}+h e_{i}\right)-f(\boldsymbol{x}) & \text { if } \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}+h e_{i} \in I^{d}, \\ 0 & \text { if } \boldsymbol{x} \in I^{d}, \text { but } \boldsymbol{x}+h e_{i} \notin I^{d} .\end{cases}
$$

For $h=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{d}\right) \in R^{d}$ and $A=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\} \subset \mathscr{D}$ we set

$$
\Delta_{h, A} f=\Delta_{h_{i_{1}}, i_{1}} \circ \ldots \circ \Delta_{h_{i_{k}}, i_{k}} f
$$

For $f \in L_{p}\left(I^{d}\right), 1 \leqslant p<\infty$, or $f \in C\left(I^{d}\right)$ if $p=\infty$, the moduli of smoothness in the $L_{p^{-}}$and $L_{\mu_{\gamma}}$-norms in the directions $A$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega_{p, A}(f, t)=\sup _{0<h \leqslant t}\left\|\Delta_{h, A} f\right\|_{p} \quad \text { for } t \in R^{d}, 0<t \leqslant 1 \\
\omega_{\mu_{\gamma}, A}(f, t)=\sup _{0<h \leqslant t}\left\|\Delta_{h, A} f\right\|_{\mu_{\gamma}} \quad \text { for } t \in R^{d}, 0<t \leqslant 1
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows from the equivalence of the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{M}_{\gamma}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{M}_{\nu}}^{*}$ that

$$
\omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\gamma, A}}(f, t) \sim \sup _{p \geqslant 1} \frac{\omega_{p, A}(f, t)}{p^{1 / \gamma}}
$$

Now let $0<\beta<1, \beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right)$, and $\lambda \in R$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\beta, \lambda}(t)=t^{\beta}\left(1+\ln \frac{1}{t}\right)^{\lambda}=\prod_{i=1}^{d} t_{i}^{\beta_{i}}\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{d} \ln \frac{1}{t_{i}}\right)^{\lambda} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are going to consider some anisotropic generalized Hölder classes in the $L_{p}$ - and $L_{\mu_{\gamma}}$-norms, described in terms of $\omega_{p, A}(f, \boldsymbol{t}), \omega_{\boldsymbol{M}_{\gamma}, A}(f, \boldsymbol{t})$ and $\omega_{\boldsymbol{B}, \lambda}(\cdot)$. More precisely, let for a function $\psi:[0,1]^{d} \rightarrow R, A \subset \mathscr{D}$, and $t \in[0,1]^{d}$,

$$
\psi(t ; A)=\psi(t(A)+1(\mathscr{D} \backslash A)) .
$$

The anisotropic Hölder classes in the $L_{p^{\prime}}$ - and $L_{\mu_{\gamma}}$-norms are now defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Lip}_{p}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda)=\left\{f \in L_{p}\left(I^{d}\right): \forall(Ø \neq A \subset \mathscr{D}) \omega_{p, A}(\boldsymbol{t}, f)=O\left(\omega_{\beta, \lambda}(\boldsymbol{t} ; A)\right)\right\}, \\
& \operatorname{lip}_{p}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda)=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{p}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda): \forall(\boldsymbol{\varnothing} \neq A \subset \mathscr{D}) \omega_{p, A}(\boldsymbol{t}, f)=o\left(\omega_{\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda}(\boldsymbol{t} ; A)\right)\right\}, \\
& \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathscr{M}_{\gamma}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda)=\left\{f \in L_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\nu}}\left(I^{d}\right): \forall(\varnothing \neq A \subset \mathscr{D}) \omega_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\gamma}, A}(t, f)=O\left(\omega_{\beta, \lambda}(t ; A)\right)\right\}, \\
& \operatorname{lip}_{\mathscr{M}_{\gamma}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda)=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\boldsymbol{M}_{\gamma}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda): \forall(\boldsymbol{\varnothing} \neq A \subset \mathscr{D}) \omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\nu}, A}(\boldsymbol{t}, f)=o\left(\omega_{\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda}(\boldsymbol{t} ; A)\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $O(t(A))$ and $o(t(A))$ refer to $\min \left(t_{i}: i \in A\right) \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{lip}_{\gamma}^{*}(\beta, \lambda)=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\mu_{\gamma}}(\beta, \lambda):\|f\|_{p}=o\left(p^{1 / \gamma}\right) \text { as } p \rightarrow \infty,\right. \\
& \left.\forall(\varnothing \neq A \subset \mathscr{D}) \omega_{p, A}(t, f)=o\left(p^{1 / \gamma} \omega_{\beta, \lambda}(t ; A)\right) \text { as } \min \left(t_{i}: i \in A, 1 / p\right) \rightarrow 0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following theorem presents the results on the supporting function spaces for the fractional anisotropic Wiener field $B^{(\alpha)}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right), 0<\alpha_{i}<2$ for $i=1, \ldots, d$, and $1 \leqslant p<\infty, 1 / p<\alpha_{i} / 2$ for $i=1, \ldots, d$; then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}\left\{\left.B^{(\alpha)}\right|_{I^{d}} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{p}(\alpha / 2,0)\right\}=1, \\
& \operatorname{Pr}\left\{\left.B^{(\alpha)}\right|_{I^{d}} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathcal{M}_{2}}(\alpha / 2,0)\right\}=1, \\
& \operatorname{Pr}\left\{\left.B^{(\alpha)}\right|_{I^{d}} \notin \operatorname{lip}_{p}(\alpha / 2,0)\right\}=1, \\
&\left.\left.\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\left.B^{(\alpha)}\right|_{I^{d}} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{I^{d}} \notin \operatorname{lip}_{\mathcal{M}_{2}}(\alpha / 2,0)\right\}=1,2\right)\right\}=1, \\
& \operatorname{Pr}\left\{\left.B^{(\alpha)}\right|_{I^{d}} \in \operatorname{lip}_{2}^{*}(\alpha / 2,1 / 2)\right\}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The idea of proof of Theorem 2.1 is the following: there are some characterizations of the anisotropic Hölder classes in the $L_{p^{-}}$and $L_{\mu_{\gamma}}$-norms by the coefficients of the expansion of a function in the basis consisting of tensor products of Schauder functions (these results are presented in Section 3). Then we prove that the coefficients of $B^{(\alpha)}$ in this basis fulfill, with probability 1 , the conditions required in these characterizations (Section 4). Putting these results together gives the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. Applying the method of proof of Theorem 2.1 to the shifted and dilated field $\left\{\varrho^{|\alpha|} B^{(\alpha)}\left(\varrho^{-2} t-c\right): t \in I^{d}\right\}\left(\varrho>0, c \in R^{d}\right)$, we can prove that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\left.\forall\left(Q \subset R^{d}\right) B^{(\alpha)}\right|_{Q} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{p}(\alpha / 2,0)(Q),\left.B^{(\alpha)}\right|_{Q} \notin \operatorname{lip}_{p}(\alpha / 2,0)(Q)\right\}=1, \\
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\left.\forall\left(Q \subset R^{d}\right) B^{(\alpha)}\right|_{Q} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{M_{2}}(\alpha / 2,0)(Q),\left.B^{(\alpha)}\right|_{Q} \notin \operatorname{lip}_{M_{2}}(\alpha / 2,0)(Q)\right\}=1, \\
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\left.\forall\left(Q \subset R^{d}\right) B^{(\alpha)}\right|_{Q} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\infty}(\alpha / 2,1 / 2)(Q),\left.B^{(\alpha)}\right|_{Q} \notin \operatorname{lip}_{\infty}(\alpha / 2,1 / 2)(Q)\right\}=1, \\
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\left.\forall\left(Q \subset R^{d}\right) B^{(\alpha)}\right|_{Q} \in \operatorname{lip}_{2}^{*}(\alpha / 2,1 / 2)(Q)\right\}=1,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $Q \subset R^{d}$ is a cube in $R^{d}$, the function spaces appearing above are the function spaces over the cube $Q$, and they are defined in the same way as the function spaces over $I^{d}$.
3. The characterization of function spaces. Now we present the characterization of the anisotropic Hölder classes in the $L_{p^{-}}$- and $L_{\mathcal{M}_{\gamma}}$-norms in terms of the coefficients of the expansion of a function in the basis consisting of tensor products of Schauder functions.

Let $\left\{\phi_{k}, k \geqslant 0\right\}$ be the family of Schauder functions on $I$, normed in $L_{\infty}$, i.e. $\phi_{0}(t)=1, \phi_{1}(t)=t$, and for $k \geqslant 2, k=2^{j}+n$ with $j \geqslant 0$ and $1 \leqslant n \leqslant 2^{j}$

$$
\phi_{k}(t)=\max \left(0,1-\left|2^{j+1} t-2 n+1\right|\right) .
$$

In several dimensions, we consider the family $\left\{\phi_{\boldsymbol{k}}, \boldsymbol{k} \geqslant 0\right\}$ of tensor products of Schauder functions, i.e. $\phi_{\boldsymbol{k}}=\phi_{k_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi_{k_{d}}$ for $\boldsymbol{k}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{d}\right)$.

To describe the anisotropic Hölder classes in terms of the coefficients of a function in the basis $\left\{\phi_{\boldsymbol{k}}, \boldsymbol{k} \geqslant 0\right\}$, the following decomposition of the set of indices is needed. Let for $j \in M=\{-2,-1,0,1, \ldots\}$

$$
\tilde{N}_{j}= \begin{cases}\{j+2\} & \text { for } j=-2 \text { or } j=-1, \\ \left\{2^{j}+n: n=1, \ldots, 2^{j}\right\} & \text { for } j \geqslant 0,\end{cases}
$$

and for a vector $\boldsymbol{j}=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d}\right)$ we put

$$
\tilde{N}_{j}=\tilde{N}_{j_{1}} \times \ldots \times \tilde{N}_{j_{d}}
$$

The formulae for the coefficients of a continuous function $f \in C\left(I^{d}\right)$ in the basis $\left\{\phi_{\boldsymbol{k}}, \boldsymbol{k} \geqslant 0\right\}$ will be needed. Let for $f \in C\left(I^{d}\right), i \in \mathscr{D}, x \in I^{d}$ and $k \geqslant 0$

$$
c_{i, k}(f)(x)= \begin{cases}f\left(x-x_{i} e_{i}\right) & \text { for } k=0 \\ f\left(x+\left(1-x_{i}\right) e_{i}\right)-f\left(x-x_{i} e_{i}\right) & \text { for } k=1\end{cases}
$$

and for $k \in \tilde{N}_{j}$ with $j \geqslant 0, k=2^{j}+n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{i, k}(f)(x) \\
& =f\left(x+\left(\frac{2 n-1}{2^{j+1}}-x_{i}\right) e_{i}\right)-\frac{f\left(x+\left((n-1) / 2^{j}-x_{i}\right) e_{i}\right)+f\left(x+\left(n / 2^{j}-x_{i}\right) e_{i}\right)}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\boldsymbol{k}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{d}\right)$ we put

$$
c_{k}(f)=c_{1, k_{1}} \circ \ldots \circ c_{d, k_{d}}(f)
$$

Then for any $f \in C\left(I^{d}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{j \in M^{d}} \sum_{k \in \tilde{N}_{j}} c_{k}(f) \phi_{\boldsymbol{k}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. Each time when we write the sum of the $d$-dimensional set of indices $M^{d}$ we mean that this set is ordered in such a way that, for $\boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{j}^{\prime} \in M^{d}$, $j=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d}\right), j^{\prime}=\left(j_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, j_{d}^{\prime}\right)$, if $\max \left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d}\right)<\max \left(j_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, j_{d}^{\prime}\right)$, then $j$ precedes $\boldsymbol{j}^{\prime}$.

For $f$ given by (3) we put

$$
\tau_{j, p}(f)=2^{-|j| / p}\left(\sum_{k \in \bar{N}_{j}}\left|c_{k}(f)\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}, \quad \tau_{j, M_{\gamma}}(f)=\sup _{p \geqslant 1} \frac{\tau_{j, p}(f)}{p^{1 / \gamma}} .
$$

Now we can formulate the characterization of the Hölder classes in terms of the coefficients $\left\{c_{\boldsymbol{k}}(f): k \geqslant 0\right\}$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $0<\beta<1, \lambda \in R$, and let the function $\omega_{\beta, \lambda}$ be defined as in (2). Moreover, let

$$
t_{j}=\left(2^{-\max \left(j_{1}, 0\right)}, \ldots, 2^{-\max \left(j_{d}, 0\right)}\right)
$$

Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$ be such that $1 / p<\beta_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, d$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{rcc}
f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{p}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda) & \text { iff } & \tau_{j, p}(f)=O\left(\omega_{\beta, \lambda}\left(\boldsymbol{t}_{j}\right)\right) \text { as }|\boldsymbol{j}| \rightarrow \infty \\
f \in \operatorname{lip}_{p}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda) & \text { iff } & \tau_{j, p}(f)=o\left(\omega_{\beta, \lambda}\left(\boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{j}}\right)\right) \text { as }|\boldsymbol{j}| \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}
$$

Moreover, for any $0<\gamma<\infty$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\nu}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda) \quad \text { iff } \quad \tau_{\boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{M}_{\nu}}(f)=O\left(\omega_{\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda}\left(\boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{j}}\right)\right) \text { as }|\boldsymbol{j}| \rightarrow \infty, \\
& f \in \operatorname{lip}_{\mathcal{M}_{\gamma}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda) \quad \text { iff } \quad \tau_{\boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\gamma}}(f)=o\left(\omega_{\beta, \lambda}\left(\boldsymbol{t}_{j}\right)\right) \text { as }|\boldsymbol{j}| \rightarrow \infty, \\
& f \in \operatorname{lip}_{\gamma}^{*}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda) \quad \text { iff } \quad \tau_{\boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{p}}(f)=o\left(\omega_{\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda}\left(\boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{j}}\right)\right) \text { as } \max (p,|\boldsymbol{j}|) \rightarrow \infty \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. For the $L_{p}$-norm and $\lambda=0$ this lemma was proved in [9], and the proof for other cases follows the same idea. For the sake of completeness we present here the sketch of the proof.

Let $\left\{f_{k}, k \geqslant 0\right\}$ denote the Franklin system on $I$, i.e. $\left\{f_{k}, k \geqslant 0\right\}$ is the system obtained by the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization (in $L_{2}(I)$ ) of Schauder functions $\left\{\phi_{k}, k \geqslant 0\right\}$, and let $\left\{f_{\boldsymbol{k}}: k \geqslant 0\right\}$ be the family of tensor products of Franklin functions. Let for $f \in C\left(I^{d}\right)$

$$
\eta_{j, p}(f)=2^{|j|(1 / 2-1 / p)}\left(\sum_{k \in \bar{N}_{j}}\left|\left(f, f_{k}\right)\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

It was proved in [9] that there exists a constant $C>0$, independent of $f$ and $p$, such that

$$
\eta_{\boldsymbol{j}, p}(f) \leqslant C \omega_{p, A_{\boldsymbol{j}}}\left(f, \boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{j}}\right)
$$

where $A_{j}=\left\{i: j_{i} \geqslant 0\right\}$, and for any $\varnothing \neq A \subset \mathscr{D}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega_{p, A}\left(f, t_{\mu}\right) \leqslant C t_{\mu}^{1(A)} \sum_{j \in M^{d}} \eta_{j, p}(f) \prod_{i \in A} 2^{\min \left(\mu_{i}, j_{i}\right)}, \\
\eta_{j, p}(f) \leqslant C \sum_{\xi \geqslant j} \tau_{\xi, p}(f), \quad \tau_{j, p}(f) \leqslant C \sum_{\xi \geqslant j} 2^{(|\xi|-|j| \mid / p} \eta_{\xi, p}(f) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The required characterizations for the $L_{p}$-norm follow now from these inequalities. The characterizations for the $L_{\boldsymbol{M}_{\gamma}}$-norm follow from the above inequalities and the equivalence (1).
4. The asymptotics of the basic coefficients of $B^{(\alpha)}$. Let $\left\{B^{(\alpha)}(t): t \in I^{d}\right\}$ be the fractional anisotropic Wiener field with parameter $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right)$, and let $\left\{b_{k}^{(\alpha)}, \boldsymbol{k} \geqslant 0\right\}$ be a sequence of the coefficients of $B^{(\alpha)}$ in the tensor product Schauder basis, i.e.

$$
B^{(\alpha)}=\sum_{j \in M^{d}} \sum_{k \in \tilde{N}_{j}} b_{k}^{(\alpha)} \phi_{k} .
$$

Lemma 4.1. The sequence $\left\{b_{k}^{(\alpha)}, \boldsymbol{k} \geqslant 0\right\}$ is a Gaussian sequence, with $\mathrm{E} b_{k}^{(\alpha)}=0$ and the variance given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left|b_{k}^{(\alpha)}\right|^{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{d} a_{k_{i}}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $0<\alpha<2$

$$
a_{k}^{(\alpha)}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } k=0 \\ 1 & \text { for } k=1, \\ \left(2^{-\alpha}-2^{-2}\right) 2^{-j \alpha} & \text { for } k \in \tilde{N}_{j}, j \geqslant 0\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, there exists $C>0$ such that for all $\boldsymbol{j}$ and $\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{l} \in \tilde{N}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{E} b_{k}^{(\alpha)} b_{l}^{(\alpha)}\right| \leqslant C \frac{1}{2^{j \cdot \alpha}} R_{\alpha}(k-l), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j \cdot \alpha=j_{1} \alpha_{1}+\ldots+j_{d} \alpha_{d}$, and

$$
R_{\alpha}(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{1+\left|t_{i}\right|^{4-\alpha_{i}}} .
$$

Proof. These estimates follow from the formulae for the coefficients of a function in the tensor product Schauder basis, the formula for the covariance of the field $B^{(\alpha)}$ and the estimates for the progressive difference of order 4 with the step 1 of the function $|\cdot+n|^{\alpha}, 0<\alpha<2$ (cf. lemme IV. 2 of [5]).

Now the asymptotic behaviour of the sequence $\left\{b_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{(\alpha)}, \boldsymbol{k} \geqslant 0\right\}$ will be studied. Let us note that if $k=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{d}\right)$ with $k_{i}=0$ for some $i \in \mathscr{D}$, then $\operatorname{Pr}\left\{b_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{(\alpha)}=0\right\}=1$. For $\boldsymbol{k}>0$ let us introduce

$$
g_{k}=\frac{b_{k}^{(\alpha)}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{E}\left|b_{k}^{(\alpha)}\right|^{2}}}
$$

Moreover, let us put $n_{j}=\# \tilde{N}_{j}, \mu_{p}=\mathrm{E}|g|^{p}$, where $g \in N(0,1)$,

$$
G(j, p)=\frac{1}{n_{j}} \sum_{k \in \bar{N}_{j}}\left|g_{k}\right|^{p},
$$

and let $\tilde{M}=\{-1,0,1, \ldots\}$.

Lemma 4.2. For each $p, 1 \leqslant p<\infty$,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{G(\boldsymbol{j}, \tilde{p}) \rightarrow \mu_{p} \text { as }|\boldsymbol{j}| \rightarrow \infty, \boldsymbol{j} \in \tilde{M}^{d}\right\}=1
$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $\boldsymbol{j} \in \tilde{M}^{d}$ be given. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\left|G(j, p)-\mu_{p}\right|>\varepsilon\right\} & \leqslant \frac{1}{\left(n_{j} \varepsilon\right)^{2}} \mathrm{E}\left(\sum_{k \in \tilde{N}_{j}}\left(\left|g_{k}\right|^{p}-\mu_{p}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{\left(n_{j} \varepsilon\right)^{2}} \sum_{k, k^{\prime} \in \tilde{N}_{j}} \mathrm{E}\left(\left|g_{k}\right|^{p}-\mu_{p}\right)\left(\left|g_{k^{\prime}}\right|^{p}-\mu_{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As the random vector $\left(g_{k}, g_{k^{\prime}}\right)$ has a normal distribution, we get from lemme II. 2 of [5] (or Theorem 4.6 of [1]; actually, it is equivalent to Gebelein's inequality, cf. [6], p. 66) and from the estimates (4) and (5) the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{E}\left(\left|g_{k}\right|^{p}-\mu_{p}\right)\left(\left|g_{k^{\prime}}\right|^{p}-\mu_{p}\right)\right| \leqslant C\left(\mu_{2 p}-\mu_{p}^{2}\right) R_{\alpha}(k-l) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k, k^{\prime} \in \tilde{N}_{j}} R_{\alpha}(k-l) \sim n_{j} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\left|G(j, p)-\mu_{p}\right|>\varepsilon\right\} \leqslant C \frac{\mu_{2 p}-\mu_{p}^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \frac{1}{n_{j}}
$$

As $n_{j} \sim 2^{|j|}$, Lemma 4.2 follows from the last inequality and Borel-Cantelli lemma.

Corollary 4.3. For each $1 \leqslant p<\infty$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\sup _{j \in \tilde{\bar{M}}^{d}}(G(j, p))^{1 / p} \geqslant \mu_{p}^{1 / p}\right\}=1
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\sup _{1 \leqslant p<\infty} \sup _{j \in \bar{M}^{d}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}(G(j, p))^{1 / p} \geqslant \mu_{\exp }\right\}=1,
$$

where

$$
\mu_{\exp }=\sup _{1 \leqslant p<\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \mu_{p}^{1 / p}
$$

Lemma 4.4. We have

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\sup _{1 \leqslant p<\infty} \sup _{j \in \bar{M}^{d}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}(G(j, p))^{1 / p}<\infty\right\}=1 .
$$

Proof. Let us observe that

$$
\sup _{1 \leqslant p<\infty} \sup _{j \in \tilde{M}^{d}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}(G(j, p))^{1 / p}<\infty \quad \text { iff } \quad \sup _{p \in N} \sup _{j \in \tilde{M}^{d}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}(G(j, 2 p))^{1 /(2 p)}<\infty .
$$

Let $p \in N, \boldsymbol{j} \in \tilde{M}^{d}, \zeta \in R, \zeta \geqslant \zeta_{0}$ (where $\zeta_{0}>0$ is chosen so that for all $p \in N$ and $\zeta \geqslant \zeta_{0}$ we have $\left.(\sqrt{p} \zeta)^{2 p}-\mu_{2 p} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{p} \zeta)^{2 p}\right)$ be given. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}(G(j, 2 p))^{1 /(2 p)}>\zeta\right\} & \leqslant \operatorname{Pr}\left\{\left|G(j, 2 p)-\mu_{2 p}\right| \geqslant(\sqrt{p} \zeta)^{2 p}-\mu_{2 p}\right\} \\
& \leqslant \operatorname{Pr}\left\{\left|G(j, 2 p)-\mu_{2 p}\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{p} \zeta)^{2 p}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the Tchebyshev inequality, (6) and (7) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}(G(\boldsymbol{j}, 2 p))^{1 /(2 p)}>\zeta\right\} \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{4}{(\sqrt{p} \zeta)^{4 p}} \frac{1}{n_{j}^{2}} \sum_{k, k^{\prime} \in \tilde{N}_{j}}\left|\mathrm{E}\left(\left|g_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right|^{2 p}-\mu_{2 p}\right)\left(\left|g_{\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}}\right|^{2 p}-\mu_{2 p}\right)\right| \leqslant C \frac{\mu_{4 p}-\mu_{2 p}^{2}}{(\sqrt{p} \zeta)^{4 p}} \frac{1}{n_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\mu_{2 p}=(2 p)!/\left(p!2^{p}\right)$ and $p!\sim(2 \pi n)^{1 / 2}(n / e)^{n}$, we get

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}(G(j, 2 p))^{1 /(2 p)}>\zeta\right\} \leqslant C \frac{1}{n_{j}}\left(\frac{4}{e \zeta^{2}}\right)^{2 p}
$$

Let $\zeta>\sqrt{4 / e}$; then

$$
\sum_{p \in N} \sum_{j \in \tilde{M}^{a}} \frac{1}{n_{j}}\left(\frac{4}{e \zeta^{2}}\right)^{2 p}<\infty
$$

Now the last inequality and the Borel-Cantelli lemma complete the proof of Lemma 4.4.

Lemma 4.5. There exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\lim _{|j| \rightarrow \infty, j \in \tilde{M}^{d}} \frac{\sup _{k \in \tilde{N}_{j}}\left|g_{k}\right|}{\sqrt{1+\ln n_{j}}} \geqslant C\right\}=1 .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\varlimsup_{|j| \rightarrow \infty, j \in \tilde{M}^{d}} \frac{\sup _{k \in \tilde{N}_{j}}\left|g_{k}\right|}{\sqrt{1+\ln n_{j}}} \leqslant \sqrt{2}\right\}=1
$$

Proof. First, let $c>\sqrt{2}$; then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\ln n_{j}}} \sup _{\boldsymbol{k} \in \bar{N}_{j}}\left|g_{k}\right|>c\right\} & \leqslant \sum_{k \in \bar{N}_{j}} \operatorname{Pr}\left\{\left|g_{k}\right|>c \sqrt{1+\ln n_{j}}\right\} \\
& \leqslant n_{j} \exp \left(-\frac{c^{2}\left(1+\ln n_{j}\right)}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives

$$
\sum_{j \in \tilde{M}^{d}} \operatorname{Pr}\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\ln n_{j}}} \sup _{k \in \bar{N}_{j}}\left|g_{k}\right|>c\right\}<\infty
$$

and the second statement follows from the Borel-Cantelli lemma.
To prove the first part of the lemma, let us choose $\delta, 0<\delta<4-\alpha_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, d$; moreover, for $0<s<1$ let us put

$$
\tilde{N}_{j_{i}}(s)= \begin{cases}\left\{j_{i}+1\right\} & \text { for } j_{i}<0, \\ \left\{2^{j_{i}}+t_{i}\left[2^{j_{i}}\right]: t_{i}=1, \ldots,\left[2^{j_{i}(1-s)}\right]\right\} & \text { for } j_{i} \geqslant 0,\end{cases}
$$

and $\tilde{N}_{\boldsymbol{j}}(s)=\tilde{N}_{j_{1}}(s) \times \ldots \times \tilde{N}_{j_{d}}(s)$. Then for all $\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime} \in \tilde{N}_{\boldsymbol{j}}(s)$ we have

$$
\left|\mathrm{E} g_{k} g_{k^{\prime}}\right| \leqslant \varrho_{j}, \quad \varrho_{j}=C_{1}\left(1 / n_{j}\right)^{\mathrm{s} \delta} .
$$

Putting

$$
n_{j}(s)=\# \tilde{N}_{j}(s), \quad z(j)=\frac{n_{j}(s)}{1+\varrho_{j}\left(n_{j}(s)-1\right)}
$$

and using Slepian's lemma (cf. [10], p. 74) and lemme II. 9 of [5] we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\sup _{k \in \tilde{N}_{j}}\left|g_{k}\right| \leqslant C \sqrt{1+\ln n_{j}}\right\} & \leqslant \operatorname{Pr}\left\{\sup _{k \in \bar{N}_{j}(s)} g_{k} \leqslant C \sqrt{1+\ln n_{j}}\right\} \\
& \leqslant \operatorname{Pr}\left\{g \leqslant C \sqrt{1+\ln n_{j}}\right\}^{z(j)} \quad(\text { where } g \in N(0,1)) \\
& \leqslant\left(1-\frac{C \sqrt{1+\ln n_{j}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(-2 C^{2}\left(1+\ln n_{j}\right)\right)\right)^{z(j)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us choose $0<s<1$ and $C>0$ such that

$$
\sum_{j \in \mathcal{M}^{a}}\left(1-\frac{C \sqrt{1+\ln n_{j}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(-2 C^{2}\left(1+\ln n_{j}\right)\right)\right)^{z(j)}<\infty .
$$

Then the first part of the lemma is a consequence of the Borel-Cantelli lemma.

Corollary 4.6. There exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{C<\sup _{j \in \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{d}} \sup _{k \in \bar{N}_{j}} \frac{\left|g_{k}\right|}{\sqrt{1+\ln n_{j}}}<\infty\right\}=1
$$

Let us put

$$
H(j, p)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{p\left(1+\ln n_{j}\right)}}(G(j, p))^{1 / p}
$$

Lemma 4.7. We have

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\lim _{j \in \tilde{M}^{a}, \max (p,|j|) \rightarrow \infty} H(\boldsymbol{j}, p)=0\right\}=1 .
$$

Proof. From Lemma 4.4 we obtain

$$
\sup _{j \in \tilde{M}^{d}} \sup _{1 \leqslant p<\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}(G(j, p))^{1 / p}<\infty
$$

with probability 1 , so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\lim _{|j| \rightarrow \infty, j \in \tilde{M}^{d}} \sup _{1 \leqslant p<\infty} H(j, p)=0\right\}=1 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Corollary 4.6 that, with probability 1,

$$
\sup _{j \in \tilde{\tilde{M}}^{d}} \frac{\sup _{k \in \tilde{N}_{j}}\left|g_{k}\right|}{\sqrt{1+\ln n_{j}}}<\infty,
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{j \in \tilde{M}^{d}} H(j, p)=0\right\}=1 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equalities (8) and (9) imply the lemma.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. Theorem 2.1 is now a consequence of the estimate for the variance of $b_{k}^{(x)}$ from Lemma 4.1, the characterization of anisotropic Hölder classes from Lemma 3.1, and the estimates from Lemmas 4.2, 4.4, 4.5, 4.7 and Corollaries 4.3 and 4.6.
5. The box dimension of the graph of $B^{(\alpha)}(\cdot)$. The box dimension of a bounded subset $F \subset R^{d+1}$ is defined as follows. Let, for $\delta>0, \mathscr{N}_{\delta}(F)$ denote the minimal number of sets of diameter not exceeding $\delta$ needed to cover $F$. Then the box dimension of $F$, denoted by $\operatorname{dim}_{b} F$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{b}} F=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \mathscr{N}_{\delta}(F)}{\log \delta^{-1}}
$$

if this limit exists; otherwise, one can consider the upper and lower box dimensions of $F$, defined as the upper and lower limits of $\left(\log \mathscr{N}_{\delta}(F)\right) / \log \delta^{-1}$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, and denoted by $\overline{\operatorname{dim}}_{\mathrm{b}} F$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{b}} F$, respectively. (For more details cf. [7].)

For the function $f: U \mapsto R, U \subset R^{d}$, we denote by $\Gamma(f)$ its graph, i.e.

$$
\Gamma(f)=\{(\boldsymbol{x}, f(x)): x \in U\} .
$$

The following theorem gives the result on the box dimension of the graph of the realization of $B^{(\alpha)}$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right), 0<\alpha_{i}<2, \kappa=\min \left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}\right)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{b}} \Gamma\left(\left.B^{(\alpha)}\right|_{I^{d}}\right)=d+1-\kappa / 2\right\}=1 .
$$

Proof. Let us note that $\mathrm{E}\left|B^{(\alpha)}(t)-B^{(\alpha)}(s)\right|^{2} \leqslant C\|t-s\|^{\kappa}$ (cf. the formula for $K_{\alpha}$ ), and it follows from the Kolmogorov criterion that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\forall(0<\varepsilon<\kappa / 2) \sup _{t, s \in I^{d}, t \neq s} \frac{\left|B^{(\alpha)}(t)-B^{(\alpha)}(s)\right|}{\|t-s\|^{\varepsilon}}<\infty\right\}=1
$$

(cf. also Theorem 2.1). As for $f: I^{d} \rightarrow R$ such that $|f(t)-f(s)|=O\left(\|t-s\|^{t}\right)$ we have

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{dim}}_{\mathrm{b}} \Gamma(f) \leqslant d+1-\varepsilon
$$

we infer that, with probability 1 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\operatorname{dim}}_{\mathrm{b}} \Gamma\left(\left.B^{(\alpha)}\right|_{\left.I^{d}\right)} \leqslant d+1-\kappa / 2 .\right. \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the lower estimate, we use the method of calculating the box dimension of the graph of a function from [2], with the use of the coefficients of a function in the so-called diamond basis (for more properties of this basis cf., e.g., [12]).

Let us recall the definition of the diamond basis; let

$$
\Psi(\boldsymbol{x})=\max \left(0,1-\left|x_{1}\right|\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot \max \left(0,1-\left|x_{d}\right|\right) .
$$

In addition, let us put

$$
W_{0}=\left\{k \geqslant 0: k=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{d}\right), \max \left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{d}\right) \leqslant 1\right\},
$$

and for $j>0$

$$
W_{j}=\left\{k \geqslant 0: k=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{d}\right), 2^{j-1}<\max \left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{d}\right) \leqslant 2^{j}\right\},
$$

$p(k)=\boldsymbol{k}$ for $\boldsymbol{k} \in W_{0}$, and for $k \in W_{j}, j>0$,

$$
p(\boldsymbol{k})=\frac{1}{2^{j}}\left(p_{j}\left(k_{1}\right), \ldots, p_{j}\left(k_{d}\right)\right),
$$

where

$$
p_{j}(k)= \begin{cases}2 k & \text { for } 0 \leqslant k \leqslant 2^{j-1} \\ 2\left(k-2^{j-1}\right)-1 & \text { for } 2^{j-1}+1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2^{j}\end{cases}
$$

The diamond basis is the family of functions $\left\{\psi_{k}, \boldsymbol{k} \geqslant 0\right\}$, defined on $I^{d}$ by the formula

$$
\psi_{k}(t)=\Psi\left(2^{j}(t-p(k)) \quad \text { for } k \in W_{j}, j \geqslant 0 .\right.
$$

For each $f \in C\left(I^{d}\right)$ there exists a unique sequence $\left\{u_{k}, k \geqslant 0\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in W_{j}} u_{k} \psi_{k} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

actually, the coefficients $\left\{u_{k}, k \geqslant 0\right\}$ are some linear combinations of the values of $f$.

It was shown in [2] that if for $f \in C\left(I^{d}\right)$ given by (11) and for $0<\varepsilon<1$ we have

$$
\varliminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{j \varepsilon}}{2^{j d}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in W_{j}}\left|u_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right|>0
$$

then

$$
\underline{\operatorname{dim}}_{\mathrm{b}} \Gamma(f) \geqslant d+1-\varepsilon .
$$

Therefore, we need to show that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\frac{\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}}{} \frac{2^{j \kappa / 2}}{2^{j d}} \sum_{k \in W_{j}}\left|u_{k}^{(\alpha)}\right|>0\right\}=1,
$$

where $\left\{u_{k}^{(\alpha)}, k \geqslant 0\right\}$ is a sequence of the coefficients of $B^{(\alpha)}$ in the diamond basis. Actually, let $i \in \mathscr{D}$ be such that $\kappa=\alpha_{i}$, and

$$
W_{j}^{*}=\left\{\boldsymbol{k} \in W_{j}: k_{i}>2^{j-1}, k_{l} \leqslant 2^{j-1} \text { for } l \neq i, 2^{-j} p_{j}\left(k_{l}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \text { for } l \in \mathscr{D}\right\} .
$$

For $k \in W_{j}^{*}, j>0$, we have

$$
u_{k}^{(\alpha)}=B^{(\alpha)}(p(k))-\frac{B^{(\alpha)}\left(p(k)+2^{-j} \boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right)+B^{(\alpha)}\left(p(k)-2^{-j} \boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right)}{2}
$$

Using this formula we verify that $\left\{u_{k}^{(\boldsymbol{\alpha})}, \boldsymbol{k} \in W_{j}^{*}\right\}$ is a Gaussian family, with $\mathrm{E} u_{k}^{(\alpha)}=0$, and, uniformly in $j$ and $k, \boldsymbol{l} \in W_{j}^{*}$

$$
\mathrm{E}\left|u_{k}^{(\alpha)}\right|^{2} \sim 2^{-j \kappa}, \quad\left|\mathrm{E} u_{k}^{(\alpha)} u_{i}^{(\alpha)}\right| \leqslant C \frac{2^{-j \kappa}}{1+\left|k_{i}-l_{i}\right|^{4-\kappa}} .
$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 4.2, we get

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\varliminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{j \kappa / 2}}{2^{j d}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in W_{j}^{*}}\left|u_{k}^{(\alpha)}\right|>0\right\}=1,
$$

which implies that, with probability 1 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\operatorname{dim}}_{\mathrm{b}} \Gamma\left(\left.B^{(\alpha)}\right|_{I^{d}}\right) \geqslant d+1-\kappa / 2 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Theorem 5.1 follows from (10) and (12).
Corollary 5.2. Applying the method of proof of Theorem 5.1 to the shifted and dilated field $\left\{\varrho^{|\alpha|} B^{(\alpha)}\left(\varrho^{-2} t-c\right): t \in I^{d}\right\}\left(\varrho>0, c \in R^{d}\right)$, we can prove that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\forall\left(Q \subset R^{d}\right) \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{b}} \Gamma\left(\left.B^{(\alpha)}\right|_{Q}\right)=d+1-\kappa / 2\right\}=1
$$

where $Q \subset R^{d}$ denotes an arbitrary cube in $R^{d}$.
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