

SLOW CONVERGENCE TO NORMALITY:
AN EDGEWORTH EXPANSION WITHOUT THIRD MOMENT

BY

L. DE HAAN* AND L. PENG (ROTTERDAM)

Abstract. Let F be a non-lattice distribution function which lies in the domain of attraction of a normal distribution. Exact uniform convergence rates are obtained for the convergence of the normalized partial sums of i.i.d. random variables with distribution F . The assumptions are

$$1 - F(x) + F(-x) \in RV_{\rho-2} \quad (-1 \leq \rho \leq 0)$$

and

$$(1 - F(x)) / (1 - F(x) + F(-x)) \rightarrow p \in [0, 1] \quad (\text{as } x \rightarrow \infty).$$

For $\rho = -1$ somewhat weaker conditions are sufficient.

1. Introduction. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent and identically distributed random variables with common distribution function F which lies in the domain of attraction of a normal law, i.e., the function $\int_{-x}^x y^2 dF(y)$ ($x > 0$) is slowly varying at infinity. An equivalent condition is: the function

$$H(x) := \int_0^x (1 - F(u) + F(-u)) u du$$

is slowly varying at infinity, that is,

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(tx)}{H(t)} = 1 \quad \text{for all } x > 0.$$

Then there exist constants $a_n > 0$ and $b_n \in R$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad P\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - b_n\right)/a_n \leq x\right) \rightarrow \Phi(x) := (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^x \exp\{-u^2/2\} du$$

for all $x \in R$.

If the third moment is finite and F is non-lattice, the difference of the two terms in (1.2), multiplied by \sqrt{n} , converges ($n \rightarrow \infty$) uniformly in x (see Petrov [9]).

* Research supported by Navy grant N 00014-93-1-0043.

Assume now that the third moment does not exist. We are going to relate the uniform rate of convergence in (1.2) to the (pointwise) rate of convergence in (1.1).

A natural rate of convergence condition for (1.1) is the following. Suppose that there is a positive function $A^*(t)$ ($A^*(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$) such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(tx)/H(t) - 1}{A^*(t)}$$

exists for every $x > 0$. Then the limit function must be of the form

$$c' \frac{x^\varrho - 1}{\varrho}$$

for constants $\varrho \leq 0$ and $c' \in \mathbb{R}$ (see Theorem 1.9 of Geluk and de Haan [3] or Lemma 3.2.1 of Bingham et al. [1]; $(x^0 - 1)/0$ is defined as $\log x$). Without loss of generality we can assume $c' = -1, 0$, or 1 . The case $c' = 0$ is somewhat less informative, so we shall henceforth assume $c' = \pm 1$. So suppose there is a function A with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A(t) = 0$ and not changing sign near infinity, such that

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(tx)H(t) - 1}{A(t)} = \frac{x^\varrho - 1}{\varrho} \quad \text{for all } x > 0.$$

The function $|A|$ is then regularly varying with index ϱ ($|A| \in RV_\varrho$). It can be proved (see the Appendix) that (1.3) is equivalent to the regular variation of $1 - F(x) + F(-x)$ at infinity with index $\varrho - 2$. We shall prove that if this is the case and if the balance condition

$$(1.4) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - F(x)}{1 - F(x) + F(-x)} = p \in [0, 1]$$

is satisfied, then for a suitable choice of the sequences a_n and b_n the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_n / \{n(1 - F(a_n) + F(-a_n))\}$$

exists, where

$$\Delta_n := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - b_n\right)/a_n \leq x\right) - \Phi(x)|.$$

This will follow from the uniform convergence of

$$\frac{P\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - b_n\right)/a_n \leq x\right) - \Phi(x)}{n(1 - F(a_n) + F(-a_n))} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

a first order expansion of Edgeworth type.

In fact, in the case $\varrho = -1$, somewhat weaker conditions are sufficient (see Theorem 2). These conditions are implied by the condition $E|X|^3 < \infty$, so that the classical result is a special case of ours.

Our results are closest in spirit to the results of Hall [5] (cf. also [6] and [7]). We require three conditions: non-lattice distribution, regular variation of the combined tails, and the balance condition. Hall [5] only requires regular variation of both tails. The conclusions of Hall [5] are somewhat weaker: he proves upper and lower bounds whereas we have an actual limit. The balance condition and the non-lattice condition are necessary for our results. For further references see Hall [6].

It may be emphasized that the case $\rho = 0$ allows for extremely low convergence rates (whether or not the variance exists, is immaterial).

The well-known inequalities of Berry and Esséen (cf. Feller [2]) are of a different type: they hold for any x but also for any n .

2. Results and proofs. Throughout most of this paper we assume

$$1 - F(x) + F(-x) \in RV_{\rho-2} \quad (-1 \leq \rho \leq 0).$$

Note that $1 - F(x) + F(-x) \in RV_{\rho-2}$ ($-1 \leq \rho \leq 0$) implies that $\int_{-x}^x y^2 dF(y)$ ($x > 0$) is a slowly varying function at infinity, so that F is in the domain of attraction of the normal distribution (see p. 83 of Ibragimov and Linnik [8]). An equivalent condition is: the function $H(x)$ is slowly varying at infinity. This implies $E|X| < \infty$, and so there is no loss of generality in supposing that $EX = 0$. We make this assumption throughout.

Since $x^{-2}H(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, the function

$$a(x) := \sup \{a: 2a^{-2}H(a) \geq x^{-1}\}$$

is well defined for all large x . For such values of x we have

$$(2.1) \quad 2x(a(x))^{-2}H(a(x)) = 1.$$

For large n define

$$(2.2) \quad a_n := a(n)$$

and $b_n = 0$. Relation (1.2) holds for such choices of a_n and b_n .

THEOREM 1. Assume

$$1 - F(x) + F(-x) \in RV_{\rho-2} \quad (-1 < \rho \leq 0),$$

$$(1 - F(x))/(1 - F(x) + F(-x)) \rightarrow p \in [0, 1] \quad (\text{as } x \rightarrow \infty),$$

$EX = 0$ and F is a non-lattice distribution function. Let a_n be defined by (2.2). Then

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i/a_n \leq x) - \Phi(x)}{n(1 - F(a_n) + F(-a_n))} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-ix}}{-it} C_\rho(t) \exp\{-t^2/2\} dt$$

uniformly for all $x \in R$, where

$$C_\rho(t) = \text{sgn}(t)|t|^{2-\rho} A_\rho i + |t|^{2-\rho} B_\rho + t^2 \frac{1 - |t|^{-\rho}}{\rho},$$

$$A_\rho = \frac{2p-1}{\rho(\rho-1)} \Gamma(1+\rho) \sin \frac{\rho\pi}{2}, \quad B_\rho = \frac{1}{\rho(\rho-1)} \Gamma(1+\rho) \cos \frac{\rho\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{\rho}$$

(define $A_0 := \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow 0} A_\varrho = (1-2p)\pi/2$ and $B_0 := \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow 0} B_\varrho = \gamma - 1$, γ is the Euler constant), and

$$\operatorname{sgn}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } t \geq 0, \\ -1 & \text{for } t < 0. \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 2. Let

$$K(y) := \int_0^y (1 - F(x) + F(-x)) x^2 dx,$$

$$K_1(y) := \int_0^y (1 - F(x)) x^2 dx \quad \text{and} \quad K_2(y) := \int_0^y F(-x) x^2 dx.$$

Assume $\int_{-y}^y |x|^3 dF(x) \in RV_0$, $K_1(x)/K(x) \rightarrow q \in [0, 1]$ (as $x \rightarrow \infty$), $EX = 0$, $EX^2 = 1$, and F is a non-lattice distribution function. Then

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i/\sqrt{n} \leq x) - \Phi(x)}{n^{-1/2} K(\sqrt{n})} = \frac{2q-1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} (1-x^2) \exp\{-x^2/2\}$$

uniformly for all $x \in R$.

COROLLARY 1. It follows that the supremum of the norm of the left-hand sides of (2.3) and (2.4) for $x \in R$ converges to the corresponding supremum of the norm of the right-hand sides, hence the uniform convergence rate.

Remark 1. Note that $B_\varrho < 0$ for $-1 < \varrho \leq 0$ in Theorem 1 so that the limit in (2.3) is not identically zero.

Remark 2. $1 - F(x) + F(-x) \in RV_{-3}$ implies $\int_{-y}^y |x|^3 dF(x) \in RV_0$.

Remark 3. Theorem 1 implies that the sequence Δ_n is regularly varying with index $\varrho/2$. Theorem 2 implies that the sequence Δ_n is regularly varying with index $-1/2$. So the range of the index is $[-1/2, 0]$.

Remark 4. If F is non-lattice and $E|X|^3 < \infty$, the conditions of Theorem 2 are fulfilled and the classical result ensues.

Remark 5. The conditions of Theorem 1 for $\varrho = -1$ imply those of Theorem 2. But (2.3) does not hold for $\varrho = -1$.

THEOREM 3. Assume

$$1 - F(x) + F(-x) \in RV_{\varrho-2} \quad (-1 < \varrho \leq 0),$$

$$(1 - F(x))/(1 - F(x) + F(-x)) \rightarrow p \in [0, 1] \quad (\text{as } x \rightarrow \infty),$$

$EX = 0$, and $|\mu|^k$ is integrable for some $k \geq 1$, where μ denotes the characteristic function of F . Then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i/a_n \leq x\right) \text{ exists for } n \geq k$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\partial/\partial x)P(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i/a_n \leq x) - (\partial/\partial x)\Phi(x)}{n(1-F(a_n)+F(-a_n))} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} C_q(t) \exp\{-t^2/2\} dt$$

uniformly for all $x \in R$, where $C_q(t)$ is defined in Theorem 1.

THEOREM 4. Assume that $\int_{-y}^y |x|^3 dF(x) \in RV_0$, $K_1(x)/K(x) \rightarrow q \in [0, 1]$ (as $x \rightarrow \infty$), $EX = 0$, $EX^2 = 1$, and $|\mu|^k$ is integrable for some $k \geq 1$, where μ denotes the characteristic function of F . Then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} P(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i/\sqrt{n} \leq x) \text{ exists for } n \geq k$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\partial/\partial x)P(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i/\sqrt{n} \leq x) - (\partial/\partial x)\Phi(x)}{n^{-1/2}K(\sqrt{n})} = -\frac{2q-1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}}(3x-x^3)\exp\{-x^2/2\}$$

uniformly for all $x \in R$.

For the proofs we need four lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Assume

$$1-F(x)+F(-x) \in RV_{q-2} \quad (-1 < q \leq 0),$$

$$(1-F(x))/(1-F(x)+F(-x)) \rightarrow p \in [0, 1] \quad (\text{as } x \rightarrow \infty),$$

and $EX = 0$. Let μ denote the characteristic function of F . Then

$$(2.5) \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu(t/y) + (t/y)^2 H(y)}{1-F(y)+F(-y)} = |t|^{2-q} C_q(\text{sgn}(t)) + t^2 \frac{1-|t|^{-q}}{q} = C_q(t),$$

where $C_q(t)$ is defined in Theorem 1.

Proof. Note

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu(1/y) - 1 + y^{-2} H(y) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{ix/y} - 1 - ix/y) dF(x) + y^{-2} \int_0^y (1-F(x)+F(-x)) x dx \\ &= -\int_0^{\infty} (e^{ix} - 1 - ix) d(1-F(xy)) - \int_0^{\infty} (e^{-ix} - 1 + ix) dF(-xy) \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 (1-F(xy)+F(-xy)) x dx \\ &= i \int_0^{\infty} (1-F(xy))(e^{ix} - 1) dx - i \int_0^{\infty} F(-xy)(e^{-ix} - 1) dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 (1-F(xy)+F(-xy)) x dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= i \int_1^{\infty} (1-F(xy))(e^{ix}-1) dx + i \int_0^1 (1-F(xy))(e^{ix}-1-ix) dx \\
&\quad - i \int_1^{\infty} F(-xy)(e^{-ix}-1) dx - i \int_0^1 F(-xy)(e^{-ix}-1+ix) dx
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$|e^{itx}-1| \leq 2, \quad |e^{itx}-1-itx| \leq |tx|^2.$$

Combining

$$1-F(x)+F(-x) \in RV_{\varrho-2}, \quad (1-F(x))/(1-F(x)+F(-x)) \rightarrow p$$

and Theorem 1.8 of Geluk and de Haan [3] (with $k(t) = (e^{\pm it}-1 \mp it)/t^2$ for part (i) and $k(t) = (e^{\pm it}-1)/t$ for part (ij)), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad &\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(1/y)-1+y^{-2}H(y)}{1-F(y)+F(-y)} \\
&= i \int_1^{\infty} (e^{ix}-1)px^{\varrho-2} dx - i \int_1^{\infty} (e^{-ix}-1)(1-p)x^{\varrho-2} dx \\
&\quad + i \int_0^1 (e^{ix}-1-ix)px^{\varrho-2} dx - i \int_0^1 (e^{-ix}-1+ix)(1-p)x^{\varrho-2} dx \\
&= i(2p-1) \int_0^{\infty} (\cos x-1)x^{\varrho-2} dx - \int_1^{\infty} x^{\varrho-2} \sin x dx - \int_0^1 x^{\varrho-2} (\sin x-x) dx \\
&:= C_{\varrho}(1).
\end{aligned}$$

We now work out the value of $C_{\varrho}(1)$ for $-1 < \varrho \leq 0$. If $\varrho = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{\varrho}(1) &= i(1-2p) \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1-\cos x}{x^2} dx - \sin 1 - \int_1^{\infty} \frac{\cos x}{x} dx + \sin 1 - 1 + \int_0^1 \frac{1-\cos x}{x} dx \\
&= i(1-2p) \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1-\cos x}{x^2} dx + \int_0^1 \frac{1-\cos x}{x} dx - \int_1^{\infty} \frac{\cos x}{x} dx - 1 \\
&= i(1-2p)\pi/2 + \gamma - 1
\end{aligned}$$

(the last equation comes from 3.782 of Gradshteyn and Ryzhik [4]), where γ is Euler constant.

If $\varrho < 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{\varrho}(1) &= i \frac{2p-1}{\varrho-1} \int_0^{\infty} x^{\varrho-1} \sin x dx + \frac{1}{\varrho-1} \sin 1 + \frac{1}{\varrho-1} \int_1^{\infty} x^{\varrho-1} \cos x dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\varrho-1} (\sin 1 - 1) + \frac{1}{\varrho-1} \int_0^1 x^{\varrho-1} (\cos x - 1) dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -i \frac{2p-1}{(\varrho-1)\varrho} \int_0^\infty x^\varrho \cos x \, dx - \frac{\cos 1}{\varrho(\varrho-1)} + \frac{1}{\varrho(\varrho-1)} \int_1^\infty x^\varrho \sin x \, dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\cos 1-1}{\varrho(\varrho-1)} + \frac{1}{\varrho(\varrho-1)} \int_0^1 x^\varrho \sin x \, dx + \frac{1}{\varrho-1} \\ &= i \frac{1-2p}{\varrho(\varrho-1)} \Gamma(1+\varrho) \cos \frac{(1+\varrho)\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{\varrho(1-\varrho)} \Gamma(1+\varrho) \sin \frac{(1+\varrho)\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{\varrho} \end{aligned}$$

(the last equation comes from 3.761 of Gradshteyn and Ryzhik [4]).

Note that (2.6) implies

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(1/y) - 1}{y^{-2} H(y)} = 1;$$

hence

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mu(1/y) - 1|^2}{1 - F(y) + F(-y)} = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mu(1/y) - 1|^2}{(y^{-2} H(y))^2} \frac{(y^{-2} H(y))^2}{1 - F(y) + F(-y)} = 0.$$

Since $\log(1+x) = x + O(x^2)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$, we thus find

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu(1/y) + y^{-2} H(y)}{1 - F(y) + F(-y)} = C_\varrho(1) = A_\varrho i + B_\varrho,$$

where A_ϱ and B_ϱ are defined in Theorem 1. Similarly we can prove

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu(-1/y) + y^{-2} H(y)}{1 - F(y) + F(-y)} = C_\varrho(-1) = -A_\varrho i + B_\varrho.$$

More generally, for $t \neq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.9) \quad &\frac{\log \mu(t/y) + (t/y)^2 H(y)}{1 - F(y) + F(-y)} \\ &= \frac{\log \mu(t/y) + (t/y)^2 H(y/|t|)}{1 - F(y/|t|) + F(-y/|t|)} \frac{1 - F(y/|t|) + F(-y/|t|)}{1 - F(y) + F(-y)} \\ &\quad + t^2 \int_{1/|t|}^1 \frac{1 - F(yx) + F(-yx)}{1 - F(y) + F(-y)} x \, dx; \end{aligned}$$

hence, by (2.7), (2.8) and $1 - F(x) + F(-x) \in RV_{\varrho-2}$, (2.5) is proved. ■

LEMMA 2. Assume that $\int_{-y}^y |x|^3 dF(x) \in RV_0$, $K_1(x)/K(x) \rightarrow q \in [0, 1]$ (as $x \rightarrow \infty$), $EX = 0$, and $EX^2 = 1$. Let μ denote the characteristic function of F . Then

$$(2.10) \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu(t/y) + t^2/(2y^2)}{y^{-3} K(y)} = -|t|^3 \operatorname{sgn}(t) (q - 1/2) i.$$

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 2 of Feller VIII.9 (cf. [2]) and $\int_{-y}^y |x|^3 dF(x) \in RV_0$, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y^3(1-F(y)+F(-y))}{\int_{-y}^y |x|^3 dF(x)} = 0.$$

Since

$$\int_{-y}^y |x|^3 dF(x) = 3 \int_0^y (1-F(x)+F(-x))x^2 dx - y^3(1-F(y)+F(-y)),$$

we have

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K(y)}{\int_{-y}^y |x|^3 dF(x)} = \frac{1}{3}.$$

Therefore

$$(2.12) \quad K(y) \in RV_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y^3(1-F(y)+F(-y))}{K(y)} = 0,$$

which implies

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{y^3(1-F(y))}{K(y)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{y^3 F(-y)}{K(y)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(1/y) - 1 + \frac{1}{2y^2} + \frac{i}{2y^3} (K_1(y) - K_2(y)) \\ &= - \int_0^\infty (e^{ix} - 1 - ix + x^2/2) d(1-F(xy)) - \int_0^\infty (e^{-ix} - 1 + ix + x^2/2) dF(-xy) \\ & \quad + \frac{i}{2} \int_0^1 (1-F(xy))x^2 dx - \frac{i}{2} \int_0^1 F(-xy)x^2 dx \\ &= i \int_1^\infty (e^{ix} - 1 - ix)(1-F(xy)) dx + i \int_0^1 (e^{ix} - 1 - ix + x^2/2)(1-F(xy)) dx \\ & \quad - i \int_1^\infty (e^{-ix} - 1 + ix)F(-xy) dx - i \int_0^1 (e^{-ix} - 1 + ix + x^2/2)F(-xy) dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$y^3(1-F(y)+F(-y)) \leq 3K(y) \quad \text{for all } y > 0.$$

Using (2.12) and (2.13), similar to the proof of Lemma 1, we can prove Lemma 2. ■

LEMMA 3. Assume

$$1-F(x)+F(-x) \in RV_{\rho-2} \quad (-1 < \rho \leq 0),$$

$$(1-F(x))/(1-F(x)+F(-x)) \rightarrow p \in [0, 1] \quad (\text{as } x \rightarrow \infty),$$

and $EX = 0$. Let $\text{Re}(z)$ and $\text{Im}(z)$ denote the real part and the imaginary part of a complex variable z , respectively. Then

(i) for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 := (-B_\rho) \wedge 1$, there exists $y_0 > 0$ such that for $y \geq y_0$, $y/|t| \geq y_0$

$$\begin{aligned} & (B_\rho - \varepsilon)(1 + \varepsilon) |t|^{2-\varepsilon} \exp \{ \varepsilon |\log |t|| \} + t^2 (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{1 - |t|^{-\varepsilon - \varepsilon}}{\rho + \varepsilon} \\ & \leq \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\log \mu(t/y) + (t/y)^2 H(y)}{1 - F(y) + F(-y)} \right) \\ & \leq (B_\rho + \varepsilon)(1 - \varepsilon) |t|^{2-\varepsilon} \exp \{ -\varepsilon |\log |t|| \} + t^2 (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{1 - |t|^{-\varepsilon + \varepsilon}}{\rho - \varepsilon}; \end{aligned}$$

(ii) for any

$$0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1 := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } p = 1/2, \\ |A_\rho| \wedge 1 & \text{for } p \neq 1/2, \end{cases}$$

there exists $y_0 > 0$ such that for $y \geq y_0$, $y/|t| \geq y_0$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\operatorname{sgn}(t) A_\rho - \varepsilon) (1 - \varepsilon \operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{sgn}(t) A_\rho - \varepsilon)) |t|^{2-\varepsilon} \exp \{ -\operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{sgn}(t) A_\rho - \varepsilon) \varepsilon |\log |t|| \} \\ & \leq \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\log \mu(t/y) + (t/y)^2 H(y)}{1 - F(y) + F(-y)} \right) \\ & \leq (\operatorname{sgn}(t) A_\rho + \varepsilon) (1 + \varepsilon \operatorname{sgn}(t) \operatorname{sgn}(A_\rho)) |t|^{2-\varepsilon} \exp \{ \operatorname{sgn}(t) \operatorname{sgn}(A_\rho) \varepsilon |\log |t|| \}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using (2.7)–(2.9), $1 - F(x) + F(-x) \in RV_{\rho-2}$ and Potter bounds (see Bingham et al. [1]), we easily obtain the lemma. ■

LEMMA 4. Assume that $\int_{-y}^y |x|^3 dF(x) \in RV_0$, $K_1(x)/K(x) \rightarrow q \in [0, 1]$ (as $x \rightarrow \infty$), $EX = 0$, and $EX^2 = 1$. Let $\operatorname{Re}(z)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z)$ denote the real part and the imaginary part of a complex variable z , respectively. Then

(i) for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there exists $y_0 > 0$ such that for $y \geq y_0$, $y/|t| \geq y_0$

$$\begin{aligned} & -\varepsilon(1 + \varepsilon) |t|^3 \exp \{ \varepsilon |\log |t|| \} \\ & \leq \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\log \mu(t/y) + t^2/(2y^2)}{y^{-3} K(y)} \right) \leq \varepsilon(1 + \varepsilon) |t|^3 \exp \{ \varepsilon |\log |t|| \}; \end{aligned}$$

(ii) for any

$$0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1 := \begin{cases} |q - 1/2| & \text{for } q \neq 1/2, \\ 1 & \text{for } q = 1/2, \end{cases}$$

there exists $y_0 > 0$ such that for $y \geq y_0$, $y/|t| \geq y_0$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\operatorname{sgn}(t)(1/2 - q) - \varepsilon) (1 - \varepsilon \operatorname{sgn}((1/2 - q) \operatorname{sgn}(t) - \varepsilon)) |t|^3 \\ & \times \exp \{ -\operatorname{sgn}((1/2 - q) \operatorname{sgn}(t) - \varepsilon) \varepsilon |\log |t|| \} \\ & \leq \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\log \mu(t/y) + t^2/(2y^2)}{y^{-3} K(y)} \right) \leq (\operatorname{sgn}(t)(1/2 - q) + \varepsilon) (1 + \varepsilon \operatorname{sgn}(t) \operatorname{sgn}(1/2 - q)) |t|^3 \\ & \times \exp \{ \operatorname{sgn}(t) \operatorname{sgn}(1/2 - q) \varepsilon |\log |t|| \}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3 by using Lemma 2.

Proof of Theorem 1. Define

$$A_n := n(1 - F(a_n) + F(-a_n)), \quad m_n := (A_n)^{(-1+\varepsilon)/(2-\varepsilon)},$$

and

$$R(x) := \frac{A_n}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-itx}}{-it} C_\varepsilon(t) \exp\{-t^2/2\} dt.$$

Note that $m_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $n^{(1+\varepsilon)/2} m_n/a_n \rightarrow \infty$ since both sequences are regularly varying with positive indices. By Lemma 3,

$$(2.14) \quad n \log \mu(t/a_n) + t^2/2 \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly for $|t| \leq m_n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu^n(t/a_n) - \exp\{-t^2/2\} \\ = (n \log \mu(t/a_n) + t^2/2) \exp\{-t^2/2\} \exp\{\theta_n(n \log \mu(t/a_n) + t^2/2)\} \end{aligned}$$

for some θ_n with $|\theta_n| \in [0, 1]$, depending on t .

Since F is a non-lattice distribution, for any $\delta > 0$ there exists a sequence $\lambda(n)$ with $\lambda(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$(2.16) \quad \int_{\delta}^{\lambda(n)} |\mu^n(t)| t^{-1} dt = o(\exp\{-\sqrt{n}/2\})$$

(see Lemma 3.3.1 of Ibragimov and Linnik [8]). It is easy to know that $A = \sup |\Phi'(x) + R'(x)| < \infty$ and $\exp\{-t^2/2\} + A_n C_\varepsilon(t) \exp\{-t^2/2\}$ is the Fourier Stieltjes transform of $\Phi - R$. Using the smoothing lemma with $T = \lambda(n)a_n$ (see Feller [2], XVI.3, Lemma 2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_x |P(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i/a_n \leq x) - \Phi(x) - R(x)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|t| \leq T} \left| \frac{\mu^n(t/a_n) - \exp\{-t^2/2\} - A_n C_\varepsilon(t) \exp\{-t^2/2\}}{t} \right| dt + \frac{24A}{\pi T} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|t| \leq m_n} \left| \frac{\mu^n(t/a_n) - \exp\{-t^2/2\} - A_n C_\varepsilon(t) \exp\{-t^2/2\}}{t} \right| dt \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{m_n \leq |t| \leq T} \left| \frac{\mu^n(t/a_n)}{t} \right| dt \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{m_n \leq |t| \leq T} \left| \frac{\exp\{-t^2/2\} + A_n C_\varepsilon(t) \exp\{-t^2/2\}}{t} \right| dt + \frac{24A}{\pi T}. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that

$$\frac{1}{A_n} \int_{m_n \leq |t| \leq T} \left| \frac{\exp\{-t^2/2\} + A_n C_\varepsilon(t) \exp\{-t^2/2\}}{t} \right| dt \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\frac{1}{A_n T} = \frac{1}{\lambda(n) a_n A_n} \rightarrow 0.$$

By (2.14), (2.15) and Lemma 3, we have

$$\frac{1}{A_n} \int_{|t| \leq m_n} \left| \frac{\mu^n(t/a_n) - \exp\{-t^2/2\} - A_n C_\epsilon(t) \exp\{-t^2/2\}}{t} \right| dt \rightarrow 0.$$

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 1, we only need to prove

$$(2.17) \quad \frac{1}{A_n} \int_{m_n \leq |t| \leq T} \left| \frac{\mu^n(t/a_n)}{t} \right| dt \rightarrow 0.$$

Since there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for $|t| \leq \delta a_n$

$$|\mu(t)| \leq \exp\{-\delta |t|^{d_0}\}, \quad d_0 = 2/(1 + \epsilon)$$

(see relation (4.2.7) of Ibragimov and Linnik [8]), note that $m_n/a_n \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).

As n is large enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{A_n} \int_{m_n \leq |t| \leq \delta a_n} |\mu(t/a_n)|^n |t|^{-1} dt &\leq \frac{1}{A_n} \int_{|t| \geq m_n} \exp\{-\delta n (a_n)^{-d_0} |t|^{d_0}\} |t|^{-1} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{A_n} \int_{|t| \geq n^{1/d_0} m_n (a_n)^{-1}} \exp\{-\delta |t|^{d_0}\} |t|^{-1} dt \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

since $n^{1/d_0} m_n (a_n)^{-1} \rightarrow \infty$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). By (2.16) we have

$$\frac{1}{A_n} \int_{\delta a_n \leq |t| \leq T} \left| \frac{\mu^n(t/a_n)}{t} \right| dt = \frac{2}{A_n} \int_{\delta}^{\lambda(n)} \left| \frac{\mu^n(t)}{t} \right| dt \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus (2.17) holds. ■

Proof of Theorem 2. Note that (see 3.952.4 of Gradshteyn and Ryzhik [4])

$$\int_0^\infty t^2 \exp\{-t^2/2\} \cos(tx) dt = \sqrt{\pi/2} (1 - x^2) \exp\{-x^2/2\}.$$

The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1 by using Lemma 4 instead of Lemma 3. ■

Proof of Theorem 3. By the Fourier inversion theorem of Feller [2], XV.3,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i/a_n \leq x\right) \text{ exists for all } n \geq k$$

and

$$(2.18) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i/a_n \leq x\right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Phi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-ix} (\mu^n(t/a_n) - \exp\{-t^2/2\}) dt.$$

The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1. ■

Proof of Theorem 4. Note that (see 3.942.5 of Gradshtein and Ryzhik [4])

$$\int_0^{\infty} t^3 \exp\{-t^2/2\} \sin(tx) dt = \sqrt{\pi/2} (3x - x^3) \exp\{-x^2/2\}.$$

The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3. ■

Appendix. We now prove that (1.3) is equivalent to the regular variation of $1 - F(x) + F(-x)$ at infinity with index $\rho - 2$. By Theorems 1.9 and 1.10 of Geluk and de Haan [3] or Lemma 3.2.1 of Bingham et al. [1], relation (1.3) is equivalent to the following:

For $\rho < 0$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} H(x)$ exists and

$$H(\infty) - H(x) = \int_x^{\infty} (1 - F(u) + F(-u)) u du \in RV_{\rho}.$$

For $\rho = 0$ the function H is in the class Π . Equivalently,

$$\int_x^{\infty} (1 - F(\sqrt{u}) + F(-\sqrt{u})) du \in RV_{\rho/2} \text{ or } \in \Pi,$$

respectively. An application of the monotone density theorem (Propositions 1.7.11 and 1.19.5 of Geluk and de Haan [3]) completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie and J. L. Teugels, *Regular Variation*, Cambridge University Press, New York 1987.
- [2] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, Vol. II, Wiley, 1971.
- [3] J. Geluk and L. de Haan, *Regular Variation, Extensions and Tauberian Theorems*, CWI Tract 40, Amsterdam 1987.
- [4] I. S. Gradshtein and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*, Academic Press, New York 1980.
- [5] P. Hall, *On the rate of convergence in the central limit theorem for distributions with regularly varying tails*, Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete 49 (1979), pp. 1–11.
- [6] — *Characterizing the rate of convergence in the central limit theorem*, Ann. Probab. 8 (6) (1980), pp. 1037–1048.
- [7] — *Fast rates of convergence in the central limit theorem*, Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete 62 (1983), pp. 491–507.
- [8] I. A. Ibragimov and Yu. V. Linnik, *Independent and Stationary Sequences of Random Variables*, Noordhoff, Groningen 1971.
- [9] V. V. Petrov, *Limit Theorems of Probability Theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1995.

Econometric Institute
Erasmus University Rotterdam
P.O. Box 1738, 3000 DR Rotterdam
The Netherlands

Received on 5.8.1996;
revised version on 13.4.1997