

Model completeness and semisimple groups

(joint with Daniel Max Hoffmann, Chieu-Minh Tran, Jinhe Ye)

Piotr Kowalski

Instytut Matematyczny
Uniwersytetu Wrocławskiego

Beijing Model Theory Conference
Beijing, July 9, 2024

Linear algebraic groups and group schemes over \mathbb{Z}

- We are interested in **algebraic linear groups**.
- They are subgroups of $GL_n(K)$ (K is a field) given by systems of polynomial equations in n^2 variables.
- For example: $SL_n(K)$, $T_n(K)$, $UT_n(K)$, \dots
- In all these examples, the defining polynomials are over \mathbb{Z} .
- Hence, GL_n , SL_n , T_n , UT_n , \dots are actually *functors* from the category of fields (or even commutative rings with 1) to the category of groups.
- Such functors (given by polynomials over \mathbb{Z}) are called **(affine) group schemes** over \mathbb{Z} .

Our problem

(Daniel Max Hoffmann, P.K., Chieu-Minh Tran, Jinhe Ye)

- We say that a **structure M is model complete**, if $\text{Th}(M)$ is model complete (no parameters).
- Let G be a group scheme over \mathbb{Z} , so G is a functor

$$G : \text{Fields} \longrightarrow \text{Groups}$$

given by polynomials over \mathbb{Z} in n^2 variables as above.

- Let K be a model complete field, e.g.:
 - $\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$;
 - curve excluding fields (Johnson-Ye);
 - perfect PAC e -free fields with e -free field of absolute numbers (Jarden-Wheeler);
 - the fraction field of Witt vectors over a model complete field of positive characteristic (pointed out by F. Jahnke).

Problem

When the group $G(K)$ is model complete?

Multiplicative group is bad

Fact

The group \mathbb{Q}_p^* is **not** model complete ($p > 2$).

Proof.

There is no primitive p -th root of unity in \mathbb{Q}_p ($p > 2$), so:

$$\mathbb{Q}_p^* \cong (\mathbb{Q}_p^*)^p < \mathbb{Q}_p^*.$$

However, we also have:

$$(\mathbb{Q}_p^*)^p \not\cong \mathbb{Q}_p^*,$$

since $p^p \in (\mathbb{Q}_p^*)^p$ but $p \notin (\mathbb{Q}_p^*)^p$ ($v_p(p) = 1 \notin p\mathbb{Z}$). □

SL_2 and history of the problem

- Minh asked Lou one day:
Is the group $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ model complete?
It turned out to be unknown.
- Afterwards Daniel, Minh, and Vincent worked on the model completeness of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$.
- They used root systems and the KAN-decomposition.

Remark

The field \mathbb{R} is model complete and \mathbb{R} is bi-interpretable with the group $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ (Maltsev, Simon Thomas), but it does *not* formally imply that $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ is model complete because of:

- 1 parameters,
- 2 possible quantifiers in the interpretations,
- 3 *any* theory is bi-interpretable with its Morleyization which has QE, so...

Borel-Tits Theorem

- Daniel gave a talk about it at Sirince, Turkey (May 2023, 22nd and last *Antalya Algebra Days*).
- During this talk, I realized that the following classical theorem may be useful.

Theorem (Borel-Tits)

Let H, G be *simple algebraic groups* defined over fields L, M respectively. Assume that H is *simply connected* or G is *adjoint*. Let $\alpha : H(L) \rightarrow G(M)$ be a group homomorphism with Zariski dense image (in $G(M^{\text{alg}})$). Then there exist:

- a field homomorphism $\varphi : L \rightarrow M$ giving $\varphi_H : H(L) \rightarrow H(M)$,
- an *isogeny* $\beta : \varphi_H \rightarrow G$ giving $\beta_M : H(M) \rightarrow G(M)$

such that $\alpha = \beta_M \circ \varphi_H$.

It is quite an amazing rigidity statement. The terms in **red** will be explained on the next slide.

Definitions

- By a **simple algebraic group** over a field K , we mean an algebraic group G over K such that any proper normal subgroup of $G(K^{\text{alg}})$ is finite.
- By a **semisimple algebraic group** over a field K , we mean an algebraic group G over K such that any normal commutative subgroup of $G(K^{\text{alg}})$ is finite.
- An **isogeny** is an algebraic group epimorphism with a finite kernel.
- A semisimple algebraic group G is **simply connected** if for any isogeny $\alpha : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$, where \tilde{G} is a connected algebraic group, the map $\alpha_{K^{\text{alg}}} : \tilde{G}(K^{\text{alg}}) \rightarrow G(K^{\text{alg}})$ is one-to-one.
- A semisimple algebraic group G is **adjoint** if $Z(G)$ is trivial.

Fact

If G is semisimple, then there is a semisimple simply connected algebraic group G_{sc} and an isogeny $G_{\text{sc}} \rightarrow G$ (“universal cover”).

- Fix $n \geq 2$.
- SL_n is simple and simply connected.
- The quotient morphism of group schemes

$$\pi : SL_n \rightarrow PGL_n = SL_n/Z(SL_n)$$

is an isogeny (an epimorphism with finite kernel).

- PGL_n is simple and adjoint.
- If G is over \mathbb{C} then:
 G is simply connected iff $\pi_1(G(\mathbb{C})) = 0$.
- Note that

$$\pi_1(SL_2(\mathbb{C})) = 0, \quad \pi_1(SL_2(\mathbb{R})) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

- The situation gets complicated on the level of rational points. Let K be a field.
- The corresponding group homomorphism

$$\pi_K : \mathrm{SL}_n(K) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_n(K)$$

is usually not onto. Its image is $\mathrm{PSL}_n(K)$ and we have:

$$\mathrm{PGL}_n(K)/\mathrm{PSL}_n(K) \cong K^*/(K^*)^n.$$

- $\mathrm{PSL}_n(K)$ is the commutator group of $\mathrm{PGL}_n(K)$.
- The PSL_n functor is *not* an algebraic group, but it is a **Chevalley group** (functor).
- Usually, $\mathrm{SL}_n(K)$, $\mathrm{PGL}_n(K)$ are not simple groups, but $\mathrm{PSL}_n(K)$ is simple.

Chevalley-Demazure group schemes

- Let $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a connected complex semisimple group.
- Chevalley showed that there is a uniquely determined affine group scheme G over \mathbb{Z} such that:
 - 1 $G(\mathbb{C}) \cong G_{\mathbb{C}}$,
 - 2 For any algebraically closed field K , $G(K)$ is a connected semisimple algebraic group “defined and split over the prime field of K ”. (In short: “best possible”.)
- We call G the **Chevalley-Demazure group scheme**.
- We say that G is simple (simply connected, etc.) if the algebraic group $G(\mathbb{C})$ is so.
- They should *not* be confused with the Chevalley groups (as in the previous slide)!

Chevalley-Demazure simple group schemes

We will be interested in **Chevalley-Demazure simple group schemes** which are classified below.

Φ	$\Lambda(\Phi)$	G_{sc}	G_{ad}	in between
$A_{n-1}, n \geq 2$	Z_n	SL_n	PGL_n	$SL_n/Z_d (d n)$
$B_n, n \geq 2$	Z_2	$Spin_{2n+1}$	SO_{2n+1}	—
$C_n, n \geq 2$	Z_2	Sp_{2n}	$PCSp_{2n}$	—
$D_n, n \geq 3$ odd	Z_4	$Spin_{2n}$	PCO_{2n}°	SO_{2n}
$D_n, n \geq 4$ even	$Z_2 \times Z_2$	$Spin_{2n}$	PCO_{2n}°	$SO_{2n}, HSpin_{2n}$
G_2	1		G_2	—
F_4	1		F_4	—
E_6	Z_3	$(E_6)_{sc}$	$(E_6)_{ad}$	—
E_7	Z_2	$(E_7)_{sc}$	$(E_7)_{ad}$	—
E_8	1		E_8	—

Theorem (Segal-Tent, Simon Thomas)

Let G be a Chevalley-Demazure simple and simply connected group scheme and K be a field. Then we have the following.

- 1 *If N is a group and $N \cong G(K)$, then there is a field M such that $N \cong G(M)$.*
- 2 *If M is a field such that $G(K) \cong G(M)$, then $K \cong M$.*

- This result (more generally, its bi-interpretability version) was shown by Segal-Tent in the case of G of rank at least 2 (so, excluding SL_2).
- In his PhD thesis, Simon Thomas showed it for SL_2 as well (but he worked in the context of Chevalley groups...).

Consequence of Borel-Tits

There is a density assumption in Borel-Tits, which is of course necessary, since the existence of an isogeny $H \rightarrow G$ implies $\dim(H) = \dim(G)$.

However, we still have the following.

Corollary of Borel-Tits

Suppose that K, L are infinite, G is simple algebraic and $f : G(K) \rightarrow G(L)$ is a monomorphism. Then the image of f is Zariski dense.

Proof.

- We use Borel-Tits for f composed with the “universal cover” map $G_{\text{sc}} \rightarrow G$.
- If the image of f is not Zariski dense, then there is an isogeny from G_{sc} to a quotient of a proper algebraic subgroup of G , which contradicts the dimension equality above.



Theorem

Let G be a simply connected simple Chevalley-Demazure group scheme (e.g. $G = \mathrm{SL}_2$) and K be a model complete field. Then $G(K)$ is model complete.

- For the proof, let $H \equiv G(K) \equiv N$ and $f : H \rightarrow N$ be a monomorphism. We need to show that f is elementary.
- By Segal-Tent, there are fields L, M such that:

$$H \cong G(L), \quad N \cong G(M), \quad L \equiv K \equiv M.$$

Therefore, we can assume that $f : G(L) \rightarrow G(M)$.

- By Borel-Tits (and Corollary), there is a field homomorphism $\varphi : L \rightarrow M$ (necessarily elementary!) and an isogeny $\beta : G \rightarrow G$ such that $f = \beta_M \circ \varphi_G$.

Proof for simple simply connected II

- Since G is simply connected, the map

$$\beta_{M^{\text{alg}}} : G(M^{\text{alg}}) \rightarrow G(M^{\text{alg}})$$

is one-to-one.

- Hence, there is an isogeny $\beta' : G \rightarrow \text{Fr}^i G$ such that $\beta' \circ \beta = \text{Fr}^i_G$.
- Since M is model complete, M is perfect, so

$$(\text{Fr}^i_G)_M : G(M) \cong \text{Fr}^i G(M).$$

- Hence, β_M is an isomorphism as well.
- Since $f = \beta_M \circ \varphi_G$, we can assume that $f = \varphi_G$ which is elementary, since $\varphi : L \rightarrow M$ is elementary.

Proof for products of simple simply connected I

Lemma 1 (Feferman-Vaught)

If $M_1 \preccurlyeq N_1, M_2 \preccurlyeq N_2$ then $M_1 \times M_2 \preccurlyeq N_1 \times N_2$.

Lemma 2 (Ziegler)

Suppose that $M \equiv M_1 \times M_2$ and M is **special** (kind of saturated, they exist). Then there are L -structures N_1, N_2 such that

$$M_1 \equiv N_1, \quad M_2 \equiv N_2, \quad M \cong N_1 \times N_2.$$

- For proof of Lemma 2, take $T := \text{Th}(M_1 \times M_2)$ and a new language L' , which is L expanded by two extra binary relations.
- Let T' be an L' -theory whose models are models of T which are products of models of the theories of M_1 and M_2 .
- By Casanovas' theorem (special models are expandable), M can be expanded to an L' -structure which is a model of T' , so we obtain N_1, N_2 as in the statement.

Theorem

Suppose that:

- 1 The structures M_1, \dots, M_n are model complete.
- 2 For any $M'_1 \equiv M_1 \equiv M''_1, \dots, M'_n \equiv M_n \equiv M''_n$ and any

$$\Psi : M'_1 \times \dots \times M'_n \hookrightarrow M''_1 \times \dots \times M''_n,$$

there is $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(n)$ and $\Psi_i : M'_i \hookrightarrow M''_{\sigma(i)}$ such that

$$\Psi = \text{coordinate}(\sigma^{-1}) \circ (\Psi_1 \times \dots \times \Psi_n)$$

and for each i , we have $M'_i \equiv M''_{\sigma(i)}$.

Then, $M_1 \times \dots \times M_n$ is model complete.

Lemmas 1. and 2. give a proof, since model completeness can be checked on special models.

Proof for products of simple simply connected III

Theorem

The assumptions of the previous theorem hold in the following cases.

- 1 $M_i := G_i(K_i)$, where all G_i 's are simple and simply connected.
- 2 $M_i := G_i(K_i)$, where all G_i 's are simple and adjoint.
- 3 $M_i := G_i(K_i)'$, where all G_i 's are simple and adjoint.

Corollary

If G_1, \dots, G_n are simple and simply connected Chevalley-Demazure group schemes and K is model complete, then $G_1(K) \times \dots \times G_n(K)$ is model complete.

Example (thanks to Martin Hils!)

$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $C_{2\infty}$ are model complete, but $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times C_{2\infty}$ is not.

- Let G be a semisimple algebraic group.
- As stated before, there exists a semisimple simply connected algebraic group G_{sc} and an isogeny $G_{\text{sc}} \rightarrow G$.
- Similarly, $G/Z(G) =: G_{\text{ad}}$ is adjoint and the quotient morphism is an isogeny.
- We would like to show that $G(K)$ is model complete for a model complete K .
- There are two problems:
 - 1 How model completeness behaves with respect to finite quotients/extensions (finite extensions of model complete groups need not be model complete!).
 - 2 On the level of rational points, they are not really quotients!

Model completeness of Chevalley groups

- Let G be a semisimple Chevalley-Demazure group scheme.
- Then G is a finite central quotient of a finite product of simple and simply connected Chevalley-Demazure group schemes.

Theorem

If K is a model complete field, then $G(K)'$ (the commutator group) is model complete.

- As mentioned above, $G(K)'$ is the corresponding Chevalley group.
- A sketch of the proof is on the next three slides.

Proof for Chevalley I: set-up and coding

- We have the “universal cover” isogeny $\pi : G_{\text{sc}} \rightarrow G$ and

$$G(K)' = \text{im}(\pi_K : G_{\text{sc}}(K) \rightarrow G(K)).$$

Let $N(K) := \ker(\pi_K)$ (finite and central).

- We also have $G_{\text{sc}} \cong S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$, where S_1, \dots, S_n are simple and simply connected. Assume for simplicity that $n = 2$.
- We code the above in a first-order way using a bigger language L'_c : the language of groups together with a function symbol $c : G(K)' \times G(K)' \rightarrow N(K)$ and two binary relation symbols.
- The theory T'_c says that c is a 2-cocycle, the group given by c is isomorphic to $H_1 \times H_2$, and

$$H_1 \cong S_1(K), \quad H_2 \cong S_2(K).$$

Proof for Chevalley II: expanding

- Take $G_1 \equiv G(K)' \equiv G_2$ and a monomorphism $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$.
- We can assume that G_1, G_2 are special, so, by Casanovas again, they expand to models of T'_c .
- By Segal-Tent, we have that:

$$G_1 \cong (S_1(L_1) \times S_2(L_2)) / N(K), \quad G_2 \cong (S_1(M_1) \times S_2(M_2)) / N(K)$$

and $L_1 \equiv L_2 \equiv K \equiv M_1 \equiv M_2$. Assume for simplicity that $L_1 = L_2 = M = M_1 = M_2$, so $G_1 = G(M)' = G_2$.

- It can be shown that f maps center onto center, so it induces a monomorphism:

$$f_{\text{ad}} : G_{\text{ad}}(M)' = (S_1)_{\text{ad}}(M)' \times (S_2)_{\text{ad}}(M)' \rightarrow G_{\text{ad}}(M)'.$$

- Such monomorphisms are understood (a theorem few slides ago), so we can assume that $f_{\text{ad}} = f_1 \times f_2$.

Proof for Chevalley III: lifting and conclusion

Each $f_i : (S_i)_{\text{ad}}(M)' \rightarrow (S_i)_{\text{ad}}(M)'$ has a description as in Borel-Tits, hence f_i and f_{ad} lift to

$$\tilde{f}_i : S_i(M) \rightarrow S_i(M), \quad f_{\text{sc}} := \tilde{f}_1 \times \tilde{f}_2 : G_{\text{sc}}(M) \rightarrow G_{\text{sc}}(M).$$

By the result about products, f_{sc} is elementary.

We have:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_{\text{sc}}(M) & \xrightarrow{f_{\text{sc}}} & G_{\text{sc}}(M) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G(M)' & \xrightarrow{f} & G(M)' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G_{\text{ad}}(M)' & \xrightarrow{f_{\text{ad}}} & G_{\text{ad}}(M)' \end{array}$$

The upper square *need not* commute, but after little corrections, f_{sc} factors to $f' : G(M)' \rightarrow G(M)'$ which is elementary.

We can show that $\text{im}(f) = \text{im}(f')$, so f is elementary as well.

General semisimple case (in progress)

- We take G and K as before and consider $G(K)$ (instead of $G(K)'$).
- We take one more language L''_c , which is L'_c expanded by the constant symbols living in $S_i(K)$ needed for the Segal-Tent bi-interpretations between each $S_i(K)$ and K ($i = 1, 2$).
- There is an L''_c -theory T''_c saying that

$$G(K) = \bigcup_{L/K} G(K) \cap G(L)',$$

where L/K is a Kummer extension such that

$$[L : K] \leq 6 \cdot \text{rank}(G),$$

so these extensions form a definable family!

- The previous proof needs to be adjusted to take into account these definable families. Work in progress...

- The case of $G = \text{UT}_3$ (Heisenberg) is also done. Maybe the arguments work for a large class/any unipotent group.
- There are some very conjectural algebraic criteria on a group scheme G over \mathbb{Z} which should be equivalent to:
“for each model complete field K , the group $G(K)$ is model complete”.
- We conjecture that if G is *any* group definable in an algebraically closed field K , then G is model complete.