

# Remarks on the non-commutative Khintchine inequalities

Gilles Pisier

U. Pierre et **Marie Curie** (Paris VI) and Texas A&M U.

Będlewo, August 18, 2009

# Plan

- 1 Khintchine inequalities
- 2 The case  $0 < p < 1$
- 3 Applications to Operator Spaces and Questions

The non-commutative Khintchine inequalities are mainly due to **Françoise Lust-Piquard** [LP1986] (see also [LPP1992])

They play a very important rôle in the recent developments in

**Operator Space Theory and non-commutative  $L_p$ -spaces**,

central tool to understand **unconditional convergence** in

non-commutative  $L_p$  (e.g. martingale ineq. P.-Xu).

also (cf. Maurey) in connection with Grothendieck's Theorem

The operator space analogues of Grothendieck's Theorem also

close connection with some form of Khintchine inequalities (cf.

e.g. P. -Shlyakhtenko, Invent. 2002, Haagerup-Musat 2008).

Further motivation in  
Random Matrix Theory and  
Free Probability.

The Rademacher functions in classical  $L_p$  (i.e. i.i.d.  $\pm 1$ -valued independent random variables) satisfy the same inequalities as the **freely** independent ones in non-commutative  $L_p$  for  $p < \infty$ .

Let  $(\varepsilon_k)$  be the coordinate functions on  $\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  equipped with the uniform probability  $\mu$  on  $\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  (equivalently the Rademacher functions on  $\Omega = [0, 1]$ ).

Recall the classical Khintchine inequalities : For any  $0 < p < \infty$  there are constants  $A_p > 0$  and  $B_p > 0$  such that for any sequence  $x = (x_n)$  in  $\ell_2$  we have

$$A_p \left( \sum |x_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left( \int \left| \sum x_n \varepsilon_n \right|^p d\mu(\varepsilon) \right)^{1/p} \leq B_p \left( \sum |x_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (1)$$

Note  $A_p = 1$  if  $p \geq 2$  and  $B_p = 1$  if  $p \leq 2$ .

Note that the best possible  $A_p, B_p$  are known (Szarek, Haagerup,...) e.g.  $A_1 = 1/\sqrt{2}$ .

Suppose now that  $x = (x_n)$  is a sequence in  $L_p(\Omega, \nu)$  then after integration (1) yields

$$A_p \left\| \left( \sum |x_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \leq \left( \int \left\| \sum x_n \varepsilon_n \right\|_p^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} \leq B_p \left\| \left( \sum |x_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \quad (2)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is relative to  $L_p(\Omega, \nu)$ .

Consider now a sequence  $x = (x_n)$  in  $L_p(M, \tau)$  where  $(M, \tau)$  is a non-commutative semi-finite measure space. The non-commutative Khintchine inequalities say, when  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , that there is a constant  $A'_p > 0$  independent of  $x = (x_n)$  such that

$$A'_p |||x|||_p \leq \left( \int \left\| \sum \varepsilon_n x_n \right\|_p^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} \quad (3)$$

where

$$|||x|||_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf_{x_n = a_n + b_n} \left\{ \left\| \left( \sum a_n^* a_n \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p + \left\| \left( \sum b_n b_n^* \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \right\}. \quad (4)$$

**Note** : converse easy, with constant 1 (by operator concavity of  $t \mapsto t^{p/2}$ )

**Ref** : LP for  $1 < p < 2$ , LP and P for  $p = 1$ . Recently (JFA 08) Haagerup and Musat showed that  $A'_1 = 1/\sqrt{2}$  (best) if one uses the complex version of  $(\varepsilon_n)$ , i.e. the coordinates on  $\mathbf{T}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

In the case  $2 \leq p < \infty$ , the non-com Khintchine ineq. are **different** : we set

$$\| \|x\| \|_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max \left\{ \left\| \left( \sum x_n^* x_n \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p, \left\| \left( \sum x_n x_n^* \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \right\}.$$

Then LP proved (see also Buchholz Math. Ann. 2001) that

$$\left( \int \left\| \sum \varepsilon_n x_n \right\|_p^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} \leq B'_p \| \|x\| \|_p \leq C \sqrt{p} \| \|x\| \|_p.$$

and again converse holds with constant = 1

The cases  $1 < p < 2$  and  $2 < p' < \infty$  are dual to each other.

The case  $p = 1$  is essentially the dual equivalent (by LPP) to the little non-commutative Grothendieck ineq. ( $p' = \infty$ )

The case  $0 < p < 1$  is still **OPEN**

but we will report partial progress



We will concentrate on the case  $1 \leq p < q < 2$ .

Let us call  $(K_p)$  the validity of the non-commutative Khintchine ineq. for a given fixed sequence  $(\xi_n)$  of non-commutative "random variables" in  $L_p(\varphi)$

Our main result is the implication ("extrapolation")

$$(\xi_n) \text{ satisfies } (K_q) \Rightarrow (\xi_n) \text{ satisfies } (K_p)$$

$$(K_p) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists \beta_p \text{ such that for any finite sequence} \\ x = (x_n) \text{ in } L_p(\tau) \text{ we have} \\ |||x|||_p \leq \beta_p \left\| \sum \xi_n \otimes x_n \right\|_{L_p(\varphi \times \tau)} \\ \text{where } ||| \cdot |||_p \text{ is defined as in (5).} \end{array} \right.$$

# Examples

- If  $\forall \pm 1$ ,  $\text{dist}(\pm \xi_n) = \text{dist}(\xi_n)$  then  $(K_p)$  for all  $1 \leq p < \infty$
- $(\varepsilon_n)$ , i.i.d. real or complex Gaussian, Hadamard lacunary (or Sidon sets), free unitary, free circular or semi-circular, spin systems (CAR),  $q$ -free Gaussian ( $-1 \leq q \leq 1$ ) all satisfy  $(K_p)$  for all  $1 \leq p < \infty$
- Harcharras (Studia 1999) gave for each integer  $k > 1$  examples that satisfy  $(K_{p'}) \& (K_p)$  when  $p' = 2k$  but do not satisfy it for  $p' > 2k$ .
- Similar examples for arbitrary (non integer)  $k > 1$  are an open problem (operator space analogue of the  $\Lambda(p)$  set problem solved by Bourgain)
- Our main result shows in particular that the Harcharras examples satisfy  $(K_1)$  (Note : this special case can also be obtained by the Haagerup-Musat argument)

When  $p < 2$ , let us say that a sequence  $(f_n)$  in **classical**  $L_p$  satisfies the **classical** Khintchine inequality  $(KI_p)$  if  $\exists c_p$  such that for all finite scalar sequences  $(a_n)$

$$\left(\sum |a_n|^2\right)^{1/2} \leq c_p \left\| \sum a_n f_n \right\|_p.$$

Assume  $(f_n)$  is orthonormal in  $L_2$ , or merely that

$$\left\| \sum a_n f_n \right\|_2 \leq \left(\sum |a_n|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Then it is **easy and well known** that if  $p < q < 2$ ,

$$(f_n) \text{ satisfies } (KI_q) \Rightarrow (\xi_n) \text{ satisfies } (KI_p)$$

Indeed, let  $S = \sum a_n f_n$ . Recall  $p < q < 2$

Let  $\theta$  be such that  $1/q = (1 - \theta)/p + \theta/2$ .

By Hölder

$$\left(\sum |a_j|^2\right)^{1/2} \leq c_q \|S\|_q \leq c_q \|S\|_p^{1-\theta} \|S\|_2^\theta = c_q \|S\|_p^{1-\theta} \left(\sum |a_j|^2\right)^{\theta/2},$$

and hence after a suitable division we obtain

$$\left(\sum |a_j|^2\right)^{1/2} \leq (c_q)^{1/(1-\theta)} \|S\|_p$$

and hence  $Kl_p$  holds with  $c_p = (c_q)^{1/(1-\theta)}$ .

The heart of this simple argument is that for any  $q < 2$

$$L_q - \text{span}\{f_n \mid n \geq 1\} = L_2 - \text{span}\{f_n \mid n \geq 1\}$$

with equivalent norms

In sharp contrast, the analogue of this **fails** for operator spaces, i.e. **completely isomorphically**

Nevertheless, the above extrapolation argument works, but requires a more sophisticated version of Hölder's inequality, that (apparently) forces us to restrict ourselves to  $p \geq 1$ .

In case  $p < 1$  we are able to extend LP's result in special case

$$\sum_{i,j} \varepsilon_{i,j} x_{i,j} \mathbf{e}_{i,j} \in S_p$$

### Corollary

Let  $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$  be arbitrary complex scalars. The following are equivalent.

- (i) The matrix  $[\varepsilon_{ij} \lambda_{ij}]$  belongs to  $S_p$  for almost all choices of signs  $\varepsilon_{ij} = \pm 1$ .
- (ii) Same as (i) for all choices of signs.
- (iii) There is a decomposition  $\lambda_{ij} = a_{ij} + b_{ij}$  with

$$\sum_i \left( \sum_j |a_{ij}|^2 \right)^{p/2} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_j \left( \sum_i |b_{ij}|^2 \right)^{p/2} < \infty$$

## Corollary

Let  $0 < p < 1$ . Let  $r$  be such that  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}$ . Consider a Schur multiplier

$$u_\varphi: [x_{ij}] \rightarrow [x_{ij}\varphi_{ij}]$$

where  $\varphi_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ . The following are equivalent :

- (i)  $u_\varphi$  is bounded from  $S_2$  to  $S_p$ .
- (ii)  $\varphi$  admits a decomposition as  $\varphi = \psi + \chi$  with  $\sum_i \sup_j |\psi_{ij}|^r < \infty$  and  $\sum_j \sup_i |\chi_{ij}|^r < \infty$ .
- (iii) There is a sequence  $f_i \geq 0$  with  $\sum f_i < \infty$  such that  $|\varphi_{ij}| \leq f_i^{1/r} + f_j^{1/r}$ .

In Studia 1999 Harcharras proved that there are subsets of  $\{e^{int} \mid 0 \leq n \leq N\}$  with size  $\approx N^{1/2}$  that satisfy  $(K_4)$  and hence (by duality)  $(K_{4/3})$ . In particular, this holds for the random subset. Our result shows they automatically satisfy  $(K_1)$ .

**Operator Space interpretation :**

$R_{N^{1/2}} + C_{N^{1/2}} \subset \ell_1^N$  completely isomorphic embedding

**Conjecture :** This holds with size  $\approx N$  instead of  $N^{1/2}$  and the random subset should work, yielding

$$R_{\delta N} + C_{\delta N} \subset \ell_1^N \quad (\delta > 0)$$

Kashin decomposition ?



## Conjectures (more explicitly) :

- There are subsets of  $\{e^{int} \mid 0 \leq n \leq N\}$  with size  $\approx N^{1-\varepsilon}$  that satisfy  $(K_1)$  with a fixed constant  $c(\varepsilon)$  (indep. of  $N$ ).

Note : Probably randomly chosen subsets do the job !

cannot be proportional by Szemerédi's theorem (presence of arithmetic progressions)

- There is an orthonormal basis

$$\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N$$

in  $L_2^N$  (equipped with uniform proba) such that **both**

$$\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{N/2}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\xi_{N/2+1}, \dots, \xi_N\}$$

satisfy  $(K_1)$  with a constant  $C$  (indep. of  $N$ ) in  $L_1^N$ .

Note : Maybe a randomly chosen orthogonal decomposition does the job !

## Corollary

*There is a constant  $c \geq 1$  such that, for any  $N$ , the usual “basis”  $\{e_{ij}\}$  of  $S_1^N$  ( $N \times N$  trace-class) contains a  $c$ -unconditional subset of size  $\geq N^{3/2}$ .*

**Conjecture :** Same for  $N^{2-\varepsilon} \dots$

## Sketch of proof :

Assume  $(\xi_n)$  orthonormal in (non-commutative)  $L_2(\varphi)$ , or merely that

$$\left\| \sum a_n \xi_n \right\|_2 \leq \left( \sum |a_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

This guarantees that

$$\left\| \sum \xi_n \otimes x_n \right\|_2 \leq \left( \sum \|x_n\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

for all  $(x_n)$  in  $L_2(M, \tau)$ . **Recall we want to prove :**

### Theorem

Let  $1 < q < 2$ .

Then  $(\xi_n)$  satisfies  $(K_q) \Rightarrow (\xi_n)$  satisfies  $(K_p)$  for all  $1 \leq p < q$ .

$$(K_p) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists \beta_p \text{ such that for any finite sequence} \\ x = (x_n) \text{ in } L_p(\tau) \text{ we have} \\ |||x|||_p \leq \beta_p \|\sum \xi_n \otimes x_n\|_{L_p(\varphi \times \tau)} \end{array} \right.$$

where

$$|||x|||_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf_{x_n = a_n + b_n} \left\{ \left\| \left( \sum a_n^* a_n \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p + \left\| \left( \sum b_n b_n^* \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \right\}. \quad (5)$$

**Sketch of proof :**

$$S = \sum \xi_n \otimes x_n.$$

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the collection of all “densities,” i.e. all  $f$  in  $L_1(\tau)_+$  with  $\tau(f) = 1$ . Fix  $p$  with  $0 < p \leq q$ . Then we denote for  $x = (x_n)$

$$C_q(x) = \inf \left\{ \left\| \sum \xi_n \otimes y_n \right\|_q \right\}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_q$  is the norm in  $L_q(\varphi \otimes \tau)$  and the infimum runs over all sequences  $y = (y_n)$  in  $L_q(\tau)$  for which there is  $f$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  such that

$$2x_n = f^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} y_n + y_n f^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Again

$$C_q(x) = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{D}} \left\{ \left\| \sum \xi_n \otimes T(f^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}})^{-1} x_n \right\|_{L_q(\varphi \otimes \tau)} \right\}$$

where

$$T(g)y = (gy + yg)/2$$

Observe that on one hand

$$C_p(x) = \|S\|_p$$

and on the other

$$C_2(x) \simeq \|x\|_p$$

Variant of “Maurey’s extrapolation principle”

we emphasize that here  $p$  remains **fixed** while the index  $q$  ( $p < q \leq 2$ ) in  $C_q(x)$  is “interpolating” between the cases  $q = p$  and  $q = 2$ .

Recall

$$C_p(x) = \|S\|_p \quad \text{and} \quad C_2(x) \simeq \|x\|_p$$

Another rather simple observation is ( $p < q < 2$ )

$$(K_q) \Rightarrow \|x\|_p \leq C' C_q(x).$$

**Main point**

(Ingredients Junge-Parcet Duke 08, + P. Pacific 92)

$$C_q(x) \leq C''' C_p(x)^{1-\theta} C_2(x)^\theta$$

where  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\theta}{p} + \frac{\theta}{2}$ . (Recall  $p < q < 2$  so that  $0 < \theta < 1$ .)

The preceding points put all together yield

$$\|x\|_p \leq C' C''' C_p(x)^{1-\theta} (C'' \|x\|_p)^\theta$$

and hence

$$\|x\|_p \leq C'''' C_p(x) = C'''' \|S\|_p.$$

Can assume  $f$  diagonal with monotone coefficients  $\lambda_n$  then

$$T(f^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}})^{-1}x = \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} + \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}} x_{ij} \right]$$

main step uses lower+upper triangular (UT) decomposition

$$T(f^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}})^{-1}x = \left[ \mathbf{1}_{i < j} \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} + \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}} x_{ij} \right] + \left[ \mathbf{1}_{i \geq j} \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} + \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}} x_{ij} \right]$$

$$\text{and } S_q/UT_q = (S_p/UT_p, S_2/UT_2)_\theta$$



Thank you !