

Operator-valued version of conditionally free product

by

WOJCIECH MŁOTKOWSKI (Wrocław)

Abstract. We present an operator-valued version of the conditionally free product of states and measures, which in the scalar case was studied by Bożejko, Leinert and Speicher. The related combinatorics and limit theorems are provided.

1. Introduction. The concept of free probability has been developed since the pioneering work of Voiculescu [V]. In this theory a *probability space* is a unital complex $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} , elements of which are viewed as *random variables*, endowed with a state ϕ which plays the role of the expectation. Having a family $(\mathcal{A}_i, \phi_i)_{i \in I}$ of such probability spaces we can define another one, (\mathcal{A}, ϕ) , where \mathcal{A} is the unital free product $*_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$ and ϕ is a state defined by the property that $\phi(a_1 \dots a_m) = 0$ whenever $a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{i_1}, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{A}_{i_m}$, $i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_m$ and $\phi_{i_1}(a_1) = \dots = \phi_{i_m}(a_m) = 0$. In this situation one says that the family $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$ of subalgebras is *free* in the probability space (\mathcal{A}, ϕ) . This notion leads naturally to that of *free convolution* of probability measures on the real line. Speicher [S2, S3] has provided a combinatorial description of this theory by using the lattice of noncrossing partitions.

Later on Bożejko, Leinert and Speicher [BS, BLS] investigated probability spaces \mathcal{A} endowed with a pair (ϕ, ψ) of states. Having a family $(\mathcal{A}_i, \phi_i, \psi_i)_{i \in I}$ of such spaces they were able to construct a probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \phi, \psi)$ such that $\mathcal{A} = *_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$ and $\psi(a_1 \dots a_m) = 0$, $\phi(a_1 \dots a_m) = \phi_{i_1}(a_1) \dots \phi_{i_m}(a_m)$ whenever $a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{i_1}, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{A}_{i_m}$, $i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_m$, $\psi_{i_1}(a_1) = \dots = \psi_{i_m}(a_m) = 0$ (*conditional freeness* of the family $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$ in $(\mathcal{A}, \phi, \psi)$). This led them to the notion of free convolution of *pairs* of probability measures on \mathbb{R} .

Here we are going to extend this theory to the situation when ϕ is allowed to be an *operator-valued state*, i.e. when ϕ is of the form $\phi(a) = P_0 \pi(a)|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$, where \mathcal{H}_0 is a fixed Hilbert space, π is a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \supset \mathcal{H}_0$ and P_0 is the orthonormal projection of \mathcal{H} onto \mathcal{H}_0 , so that

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46L54, 46L09, 43A65.

Research supported by KBN grant 2 PO3A 054 15.

ϕ is a completely positive function $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$. We introduce this notion in order to study conditionally free convolution of operator-valued measures (see for example the papers of Bisgaard [Bi] and Schmüdgen [Sm] and the references given there). In particular we extend the boolean convolution of measures, studied by Speicher and Woroudi [SW], to operator-valued measures. Note that neither the classical nor the free convolution of probability measures admits such an operator-valued version. It is also possible to consider spaces with more than two states (see [M2]) but then the related product of states and measures is no longer associative and for this reason the methods presented here are not applicable.

It is confusing that the term “operator-valued free probability” appears also in literature with a different meaning (see [S3, Sh]). There a probability space is a triple $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \phi)$, where \mathcal{A} is a unital algebra, \mathcal{B} is its subalgebra with $1 \in \mathcal{B}$, and $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfies: $\phi(b) = b$ for $b \in \mathcal{B}$, and $\phi(b_1 a b_2) = b_1 \phi(a) b_2$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{B}$, i.e. ϕ is a \mathcal{B} -functional. The *distribution* of an element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is a \mathcal{B} -functional ν_a defined on the algebra $\mathcal{B}\langle X \rangle$ generated freely by \mathcal{B} and an indeterminate X such that $\nu_a(P(X)) := \phi(P(a))$ for $P(X) \in \mathcal{B}\langle X \rangle$. The natural objects to study in this setup are amalgamated free products.

The paper is organized as follows. First we study the notions of a moment pair and a cumulant pair of functions on a fixed linear subspace \mathcal{A}^0 of a unital algebra \mathcal{A} , with $1 \in \mathcal{A}^0$, which are analogous to those of moment and cumulant functions discussed by Speicher [S2, S3]. In Proposition 1 we show that these two notions are in one-to-one correspondence. The difference compared with the case studied by Speicher is that dealing with pairs one has to distinguish between inner and outer blocks of noncrossing partitions. Although these notions appeared in [BLS], they were not studied systematically there. Here we provide more details and adapt this to the situation when the first functions in the pairs are operator-valued ones.

Then we apply our results to triples of the form $(\mathcal{A}, \Phi, \psi)$, where \mathcal{A} is a unital algebra over a field K , $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow K$ is a linear functional satisfying $\psi(1) = 1$ and Φ is a linear function $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, where \mathcal{B} is a fixed algebra over K with a unit $\mathbf{1}$, satisfying $\Phi(1) = \mathbf{1}$. For a family $\{(\mathcal{A}, \Phi, \psi)\}_{i \in I}$ of such triples we construct another one, $(\mathcal{A}, \Phi, \psi)$, which satisfies:

- 1) $\mathcal{A} = *_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$,
- 2) $\psi(a_1 \dots a_m) = 0$ and $\Phi(a_1 \dots a_m) = \Phi_{i_1}(a_1) \dots \Phi_{i_m}(a_m)$ whenever $a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{i_1}, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{A}_{i_m}$, $i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_m$ and $\psi_{i_1}(a_1) = \dots = \psi_{i_m}(a_m) = 0$.

We show in Proposition 2 that this construction is associative.

Next, assuming that $K = \mathbb{C}$ and that \mathcal{B} is endowed with a norm, we study the limit theorems providing operator-valued versions of the central limit theorem and of the Poisson distribution (cf. [S1, BS, BLS]).

In Section 6 we prove that the conditionally free product $(\mathcal{A}, \Phi, \psi) = *_{i \in I} (\mathcal{A}_i, \Phi_i, \psi_i)$ preserves complete positivity of the states Φ and ψ . The construction of the related pair of representations is adapted from [M1] where it was studied for the group case (see also [B1, B2, B3]).

Finally we discuss conditionally free convolution on the class $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{M}$, where \mathcal{M} (resp. $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$) denotes the class of all (resp. all operator-valued) compactly supported probability measures on \mathbb{R} . As a consequence we obtain a binary associative and commutative operation \uplus on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ which extends the boolean convolution on \mathcal{M} introduced by Speicher and Woroudi [SW]. We show in particular that if E (resp. F) is the spectral measure of a selfadjoint operator A (resp. B) then $E \uplus F$ is the spectral measure of $A + B$.

2. Preliminaries. By a *partition* of a set S we mean a family π of nonempty, pairwise disjoint subsets of S (called *blocks*) such that $\bigcup \pi = S$. The corresponding equivalence relation on S will be denoted by $\overset{\pi}{\sim}$.

A partition π of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ is called *noncrossing* if $1 \leq k < p < l < q \leq m$, $k, l \in V \in \pi$, $p, q \in W \in \pi$ implies $V = W$. The class of all noncrossing partitions of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ will be denoted by $\text{NC}(m)$. A block $V \in \pi \in \text{NC}(m)$ is said to be *inner* if there is another block $W \in \pi$ and elements $p, q \in W$ such that $p < k < q$ for every $k \in V$. Otherwise V is called *outer*. The family of all inner (resp. outer) blocks of a noncrossing partition π will be denoted by π_i (resp. π_o). Having a product of the form $\prod_{V \in \pi_o} f(V)$ we will assume that the factors are in the same order as the blocks in π_o .

For $\pi \in \text{NC}(m)$ and $1 \leq p < m$ we define $\pi(p = p + 1)$ as the partition $\sigma \in \text{NC}(m - 1)$ which results from π by identifying p and $p + 1$ and joining the blocks containing them, if they are different (see Remark 3.2.2 in [S3]).

In other words, if for a block $U = \{k_1, \dots, k_s\}$ with $k_1 < \dots < k_r \leq p < k_{r+1} < \dots < k_s$, we define $U(p) := \{k_1, \dots, k_r, k_{r+1} - 1, \dots, k_s - 1\}$ then $\sigma = \pi(p = p + 1)$ consists of blocks $U(p)$ with $p, p + 1 \notin U \in \pi$ and the block $U'(p) \cup U''(p)$, where $p \in U' \in \pi$ and $p + 1 \in U'' \in \pi$ (possibly $U' = U''$). Note that if π is noncrossing then so is $\pi(p = p + 1)$.

For a sequence $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ and a set $V = \{k_1, \dots, k_s\}$ of integers with $1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_s \leq m$, we define the subsequence $\mathbf{a}(V) = (a_1, \dots, a_m)(V) := (a_{k_1}, \dots, a_{k_s})$ and the product $\prod_{k \in V} a_k := a_{k_1} \dots a_{k_s}$.

3. Moment and cumulant pairs of functions. The notions of moment and cumulant functions were introduced by Speicher [S3, Definition 3.2.1] as a tool to calculate expressions of the form $\phi(a_1 \dots a_m)$, where $\phi = *_{i \in I} \phi_i$ is the free product state on a free product algebra $\mathcal{A} = *_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$ and $a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{i_1}, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{A}_{i_m}$. Our aim is to extend them to moment and cumulant pairs in order to deal with the conditionally free product pair of states $(\phi, \psi) = *_{i \in I} (\phi_i, \psi_i)$.

Throughout this section \mathcal{A} will be a fixed unital algebra over a field K and \mathcal{A}^0 will denote a linear subspace of \mathcal{A} with $1 \in \mathcal{A}^0$. In our applications \mathcal{A}^0 will be either the whole \mathcal{A} or the linear span $\text{lin}\{\mathcal{A}_i : i \in I\}$ in the free product $*_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$. Also, \mathcal{B} will be a fixed algebra over K with a unit $\mathbf{1}$. We will assume that f, F, r, R are functions

$$f, r : \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\mathcal{A}^0 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}^0}_m \rightarrow K, \quad F, R : \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\mathcal{A}^0 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}^0}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

such that f, F, r, R restricted to $\mathcal{A}^0 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}^0$ (m times) are m -linear for every m .

DEFINITION. The pair (F, f) will be called a *moment pair* of functions on \mathcal{A}^0 if $f(1) = 1$, $F(1) = \mathbf{1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f(a_1, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_p a_{p+1}, a_{p+2}, \dots, a_m) \\ &= f(a_1, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_p, a_{p+1}, a_{p+2}, \dots, a_m), \\ F(a_1, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_p a_{p+1}, a_{p+2}, \dots, a_m) \\ &= F(a_1, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_p, a_{p+1}, a_{p+2}, \dots, a_m) \end{aligned}$$

whenever $1 \leq p < m$, $a_1, \dots, a_m, a_p a_{p+1} \in \mathcal{A}^0$.

The pair (R, r) will be called a *cumulant pair* of functions on \mathcal{A}^0 if $r(1) = 1$, $R(1) = \mathbf{1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1a) \quad r(a_1, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_p a_{p+1}, a_{p+2}, \dots, a_m) \\ &= r(a_1, \dots, a_p, a_{p+1}, \dots, a_m) + r(a_1, \dots, a_p) r(a_{p+1}, \dots, a_m) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^p r(a_k, \dots, a_p) r(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_{p+1}, \dots, a_m) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=p+1}^{m-1} r(a_{p+1}, \dots, a_k) r(a_1, \dots, a_p, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_m), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (1b) \quad R(a_1, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_p a_{p+1}, a_{p+2}, \dots, a_m) \\ &= R(a_1, \dots, a_p, a_{p+1}, \dots, a_m) + R(a_1, \dots, a_p) R(a_{p+1}, \dots, a_m) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^p r(a_k, \dots, a_p) R(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_{p+1}, \dots, a_m) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=p+1}^{m-1} r(a_{p+1}, \dots, a_k) R(a_1, \dots, a_p, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_m) \end{aligned}$$

whenever $1 \leq p < m$, $a_1, \dots, a_m, a_p a_{p+1} \in \mathcal{A}^0$.

Note that the right hand sides are sums over all noncrossing partitions σ of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\sigma(p = p + 1)$ is the one-block partition $\{\{1, 2, \dots, m - 1\}\}$. In (1b) we put R if the corresponding block of σ is outer. In particular $r(a_1 a_2) = r(a_1, a_2) + r(a_1)r(a_2)$ and $R(a_1 a_2) = R(a_1, a_2) + R(a_1)R(a_2)$ if $a_1, a_2, a_1 a_2 \in \mathcal{A}^0$.

A single function f (resp. r) is said to be a *moment* (resp. *cumulant function*) on \mathcal{A}^0 if (f, f) (resp. (r, r)) is a moment (resp. cumulant) pair on \mathcal{A}^0 .

EXAMPLES. 1) If \mathcal{A}^0 is a subalgebra of \mathcal{A} then

$$f(a_1, \dots, a_m) = f(a_1 \dots a_m) \quad \text{and} \quad F(a_1, \dots, a_m) = F(a_1 \dots a_m).$$

2) Assume that $r(a_1, \dots, a_m) = 0$ whenever $m \geq 2$. Then r is a cumulant function if and only if the map $a \mapsto r(a)$ satisfies $r(a_1 a_2) = r(a_1)r(a_2)$ whenever $a_1, a_2, a_1 a_2 \in \mathcal{A}_0$. In view of the next proposition, such a cumulant function corresponds to the moment function given by $f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) := r(a_1)r(a_2) \dots r(a_m)$.

3) If $r \equiv 0$ then R becomes a *boolean cumulant* (see [SW, M2]).

We now show that these two notions are in one-to-one correspondence. Note that the first statement is contained in [S2, S3].

PROPOSITION 1. *Suppose that the pairs (F, f) and (R, r) of functions are related by*

$$f(a_1, \dots, a_m) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(m)} \prod_{V \in \pi} r((a_1, \dots, a_m)(V)),$$

and

$$F(a_1, \dots, a_m) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(m)} \prod_{V \in \pi_i} r((a_1, \dots, a_m)(V)) \prod_{V \in \pi_o} R((a_1, \dots, a_m)(V)),$$

where the order of factors in the product $\prod_{V \in \pi_o} R((a_1, \dots, a_m)(V))$ corresponds to that of the outer blocks in π . Then

- (a) f is a moment function if and only if r is a cumulant function.
- (b) (F, f) is a moment pair if and only if (R, r) is a cumulant pair.

Proof. Put $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ and $\mathbf{a}_p = (a_1, \dots, a_p a_{p+1}, \dots, a_m)$. First observe that if (R, r) is a cumulant pair, $1 \leq p < m$, $a_1, \dots, a_m, a_p a_{p+1} \in \mathcal{A}^0$, $\sigma \in \text{NC}(m - 1)$ then

$$(2a) \quad \prod_{B \in \sigma} r(\mathbf{a}_p(B)) = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \text{NC}(m) \\ \pi(p=p+1)=\sigma}} \prod_{V \in \pi} r(\mathbf{a}(V))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(2b) \quad \prod_{B \in \sigma_i} r(\mathbf{a}_p(B)) \prod_{B \in \sigma_o} R(\mathbf{a}_p(B)) \\
= \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \text{NC}(m) \\ \pi(p=p+1)=\sigma}} \prod_{V \in \pi_i} r(\mathbf{a}(V)) \prod_{V \in \pi_o} R(\mathbf{a}(V)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\mathbf{a}_p) &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{NC}(m-1)} \prod_{B \in \sigma_i} r(\mathbf{a}_p(B)) \prod_{B \in \sigma_o} R(\mathbf{a}_p(B)) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{NC}(m-1)} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \text{NC}(m) \\ \pi(p=p+1)=\sigma}} \prod_{V \in \pi_i} r(\mathbf{a}(V)) \prod_{V \in \pi_o} R(\mathbf{a}(V)) \\
&= \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(m)} \prod_{V \in \pi_i} r(\mathbf{a}(V)) \prod_{V \in \pi_o} R(\mathbf{a}(V)) = F(\mathbf{a}),
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly for f , so that (F, f) is a moment pair.

On the other hand, if (F, f) is a moment pair then $R(1) = F(1) = \mathbf{1}$ and for $a_1, a_2, a_1 a_2 \in \mathcal{A}^0$,

$$R(a_1 a_2) = F(a_1 a_2) = F(a_1, a_2) = R(a_1, a_2) + R(a_1)R(a_2)$$

and similarly $r(a_1 a_2) = r(a_1)r(a_2)$, so (1) holds for $m = 2$. Assume that $m \geq 3$ and that (1) is true for all $k < m$. Then (2) holds for all $\sigma \in \text{NC}(m-1) \setminus \{\{1, \dots, m-1\}\}$ so if $1 \leq p < m$, $a_1, \dots, a_m, a_p a_{p+1} \in \mathcal{A}^0$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
R(\mathbf{a}_p) &= F(\mathbf{a}_p) - \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \text{NC}(m-1) \\ |\sigma| > 1}} \prod_{B \in \sigma_i} r(\mathbf{a}_p(B)) \prod_{B \in \sigma_o} R(\mathbf{a}_p(B)) \\
&= F(\mathbf{a}) - \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \text{NC}(m-1) \\ |\sigma| > 1}} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \text{NC}(m) \\ \pi(p=p+1)=\sigma}} \prod_{V \in \pi_i} r(\mathbf{a}(V)) \prod_{V \in \pi_o} R(\mathbf{a}(V)) \\
&= F(\mathbf{a}) - \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \text{NC}(m) \\ |\pi(p=p+1)| > 1}} \prod_{V \in \pi_i} r(\mathbf{a}(V)) \prod_{V \in \pi_o} R(\mathbf{a}(V)) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \text{NC}(m) \\ |\pi(p=p+1)| = 1}} \prod_{V \in \pi_i} r(\mathbf{a}(V)) \prod_{V \in \pi_o} R(\mathbf{a}(V)),
\end{aligned}$$

which is the right hand side of (1b). The same works for r , so our proof is complete. ■

EXAMPLE. If $r \equiv 0$ then the formula for F becomes

$$F(a_1, \dots, a_m) = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \text{NC}(m) \\ \pi_i = \emptyset}} \prod_{V \in \pi} R((a_1, \dots, a_m)(V)),$$

which can be inverted ([SW, M2]) to

$$R(a_1, \dots, a_m) = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \text{NC}(m) \\ \pi_i = \emptyset}} (-1)^{|\pi|-1} \prod_{V \in \pi} F((a_1, \dots, a_m)(V)).$$

The following lemma will allow us to apply these notions to the unital free product of algebras.

LEMMA 1. *Assume that (R, r) is a cumulant pair.*

(a) *If $m \geq 2$, $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{A}^0$ and $a_p = 1$ for some p , with $1 \leq p \leq m$, then $R(a_1, \dots, a_m) = 0$ and $r(a_1, \dots, a_m) = 0$.*

(b) *Suppose that $m \geq 2$, $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_m \in \mathcal{A}^0$ and $a_1 - b_1, \dots, a_m - b_m \in K1$. Then $R(a_1, \dots, a_m) = R(b_1, \dots, b_m)$ and $r(a_1, \dots, a_m) = r(b_1, \dots, b_m)$.*

Proof. For $m = 2$ we have

$$R(a) = R(a1) = R(a, 1) + R(a)R(1) = R(a, 1) + R(a)$$

so $R(a, 1) = 0$. Similarly $R(1, a) = 0$ and the same is true for r .

If the assertion holds for all $k < m$ then by definition

$R(a_1, \dots, 1a_p, \dots, a_m) = R(a_1, \dots, 1, a_p, \dots, a_m) + r(1)R(a_1, \dots, a_p, \dots, a_m)$ ($r(1) = 1$ should be replaced by $R(1) = \mathbf{1}$ if $p = 1$), and similarly for r , which concludes the proof of the first part. The second assertion is an easy consequence of the first one. ■

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that functions f and r are related as in Proposition 1, $\sigma = \{B_1, \dots, B_r\} = \pi_\circ$ for some $\pi \in \text{NC}(m)$, $B = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_r = \{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_s\}$, $1 = k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_s = m$. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{\pi \in \text{NC}(m) \\ \pi_\circ = \sigma}} \prod_{V \in \pi} r((a_1, \dots, a_m)(V)) = \prod_{t=1}^s f(a_{k_{t-1}+1}, a_{k_{t-1}+2}, \dots, a_{k_t}).$$

Proof. This is a consequence of the fact that $\{\pi \in \text{NC}(m) : \pi_\circ = \sigma\}$ is precisely the class of partitions of the form $\sigma \cup \pi^1 \cup \dots \cup \pi^s$, where π^t is a noncrossing partition of the interval $\{k_{t-1} + 1, k_{t-1} + 2, \dots, k_t - 1\}$. ■

4. Free product of pairs of functions. Here we will work with a family $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$ of unital algebras over K . Assume that for every $i \in I$ we are given linear functions $\Phi_i : \mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, $\psi_i : \mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow K$ such that $\Phi_i(1) = \mathbf{1}$ and $\psi_i(1) = 1$. We define a moment pair

$$F_i(a_1, \dots, a_m) = \Phi_i(a_1 \dots a_m), \quad f_i(a_1, \dots, a_m) = \psi_i(a_1 \dots a_m)$$

on \mathcal{A}_i and take the corresponding cumulant pair (R_i, r_i) .

Now define \mathcal{A} to be the unital free product $\mathcal{A} = *_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$ and put $\mathcal{A}^0 = \text{lin}\{\mathcal{A}_i : i \in I\}$ (note that in [S2, S3, BLS] the symbol “lin” is missing). We define

$$R(a_1, \dots, a_m) = \sum_{i \in I} R_i(a_1^i, \dots, a_m^i), \quad r(a_1, \dots, a_m) = \sum_{i \in I} r_i(a_1^i, \dots, a_m^i),$$

if $a_k = \sum_{i \in I} a_k^i$, $a_k^i \in \mathcal{A}_i$, and all but finitely many of the summands are 0. Note that if $a = \sum_{i \in I} a^i = \sum_{i \in I} b^i$, $a^i, b^i \in \mathcal{A}_i$ then $b^i = a^i + \alpha^i 1$ for some $\alpha^i \in K$ satisfying $\sum_{i \in I} \alpha^i = 0$. Therefore, in view of Lemma 1b, the functions R and r are well defined and one can see immediately

LEMMA 3. *Suppose that $a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{i_1}, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{A}_{i_m}$ and $i_k \neq i_l$ for some $1 \leq k < l \leq m$. Then $R(a_1, \dots, a_m) = 0$ and $r(a_1, \dots, a_m) = 0$. ■*

Now we can see that (R, r) is a cumulant pair on \mathcal{A}^0 . Indeed, if $a_1, \dots, a_m, a_p a_{p+1} \in \mathcal{A}^0$ then, by the last lemma, we may assume that $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{A}_i$ for some $i \in I$ (otherwise we have 0 on both sides of (1)) and use the fact that (R_i, r_i) is a cumulant pair on \mathcal{A}_i . Denote by (F, f) the related moment pair.

LEMMA 4. *Suppose $a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{i_1}, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{A}_{i_m}$, $b_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{j_1}, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{A}_{j_n}$ and $a_1 \dots a_m = b_1 \dots b_n$. Then $F(a_1, \dots, a_m) = F(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ and $f(a_1, \dots, a_m) = f(b_1, \dots, b_n)$.*

Proof. By multiplicativity we can assume that $i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_m$, $j_1 \neq \dots \neq j_n$ and $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n \neq K1$. Then $a_1 \dots a_m = b_1 \dots b_n$ implies that $m = n$ and $b_1 = \alpha_1 a_1, \dots, b_m = \alpha_m a_m$ for some $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in K$ satisfying $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_m = 1$, which ends the proof. ■

The last lemma allows us to define linear functions Φ and ψ on $\mathcal{A} = *_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$ by putting

$$\Phi(a_1 \dots a_m) = F(a_1, \dots, a_m), \quad \psi(a_1 \dots a_m) = f(a_1, \dots, a_m)$$

whenever $a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{i_1}, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{A}_{i_m}$ for some $i_1, \dots, i_m \in I$.

THEOREM 1. *Assume that $a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{i_1}, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{A}_{i_m}$, $m \geq 1$, $i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_m$ and $\psi_{i_1}(a_1) = \dots = \psi_{i_m}(a_m) = 0$. Then*

$$\psi(a_1 \dots a_m) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(a_1 \dots a_m) = \Phi_{i_1}(a_1) \dots \Phi_{i_m}(a_m).$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on m . For $m = 1$ the assertion is obvious. Suppose it holds for all $k < m$ and write

$$\psi(a_1 \dots a_m) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(m)} \prod_{V \in \pi} r((a_1, \dots, a_m)(V)),$$

$$\Phi(a_1 \dots a_m) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(m)} \prod_{V \in \pi_1} r((a_1, \dots, a_m)(V)) \prod_{V \in \pi_o} R((a_1, \dots, a_m)(V)).$$

By Lemma 2 and by induction we can take in these sums only partitions satisfying $\pi_1 = \emptyset$, i.e. partitions for which all blocks are intervals. But if V is an interval with $|V| > 1$ then, by Lemma 3, $r((a_1, \dots, a_m)(V)) = 0$ and $R((a_1, \dots, a_m)(V)) = 0$. Therefore we are left with $\pi = \{\{1\}, \dots, \{m\}\}$, which gives the assertion. ■

The function ψ is called the *unital free product* of the functions ψ_i and denoted by $*_{i \in I} \psi_i$ (see [V, VDN]). Hence we will call the pair (Φ, ψ) of functions on $\mathcal{A} = *_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$ the *unital free product of the pairs* (Φ_i, ψ_i) and denote it by $*_{i \in I} (\Phi_i, \psi_i)$. The next proposition says that this operation is associative, which is not the case when we have more than two states (see [M2]).

PROPOSITION 2. *Assume that $I = \bigcup_{j \in J} I_j$ is a partition of I . Then*

$$*_{j \in J} (*_{i \in I_j} (\Phi_i, \psi_i)) = *_{i \in I} (\Phi_i, \psi_i).$$

Proof. Set $(\Phi'_j, \psi'_j) = *_{i \in I_j} (\Phi_i, \psi_i)$ and $(\Phi', \psi') = *_{j \in J} (\Phi'_j, \psi'_j)$. Take $a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{i_1}, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{A}_{i_m}$ with $i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_m$ and $\psi_{i_1}(a_1) = \dots = \psi_{i_m}(a_m) = 0$. Then for some $0 = k(0) < k(1) < \dots < k(s) = m$ we have $i_{k(t-1)+1}, i_{k(t-1)+2}, \dots, i_{k(t)} \in I_{j_t}$, $1 \leq t \leq s$, and $j_1 \neq \dots \neq j_s$. Put

$$b_t = a_{k(t-1)+1} a_{k(t-1)+2} \dots a_{k(t)}.$$

Then we have $\psi'_{j_t}(b_t) = 0$ and

$$\Phi'_{j_t}(b_t) = \Phi_{i_{k(t-1)+1}}(a_{k(t-1)+1}) \Phi_{i_{k(t-1)+2}}(a_{k(t-1)+2}) \dots \Phi_{i_{k(t)}}(a_{k(t)}).$$

This in turn implies $\psi'(a_1 \dots a_m) = \psi'(b_1 \dots b_s) = 0$ and $\Phi'(a_1 \dots a_m) = \Phi'(b_1 \dots b_s) = \Phi'_{j_1}(b_1) \dots \Phi'_{j_s}(b_s) = \Phi_{i_1}(a_1) \dots \Phi_{i_m}(a_m)$, which concludes the proof. ■

The following two propositions can help us evaluate $\Phi(a_1 \dots a_m)$.

PROPOSITION 3. *Assume that $a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{i_1}, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{A}_{i_m}$ and that for some $1 \leq p < m$ the sets $\{i_1, \dots, i_p\}$ and $\{i_{p+1}, \dots, i_m\}$ are disjoint. Then*

$$\Phi(a_1 \dots a_m) = \Phi(a_1 \dots a_p) \Phi(a_{p+1} \dots a_m).$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 3 we can calculate $\Phi(a_1 \dots a_m)$ using only π 's of the form $\pi = \pi^1 \pi^2$, where $\pi^1 \in \text{NC}(\{1, \dots, p\})$, $\pi^2 \in \text{NC}(\{p+1, \dots, m\})$. ■

PROPOSITION 4. *Suppose that $a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{i_1}, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{A}_{i_m}$ and that for some k we have $i_k \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, i_{k+1}, \dots, i_m\}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(a_1 \dots a_m) &= \psi_{i_k}(a_k) \Phi(a_1 \dots a_{k-1} a_{k+1} \dots a_m) \\ &\quad + \Phi(a_1 \dots a_{k-1}) [\Phi_{i_k}(a_k) - \psi_{i_k}(a_k) \mathbf{1}] \Phi(a_{k+1} \dots a_m). \end{aligned}$$

More generally, if the sets $\{i_p, i_{p+1}, \dots, i_q\}$ and $\{i_1, \dots, i_{p-1}, i_{q+1}, \dots, i_m\}$ are disjoint for some $1 \leq p \leq q \leq m$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(a_1 \dots a_m) &= \psi(a_p \dots a_q) \Phi(a_1 \dots a_{p-1} a_{q+1} \dots a_m) \\ &\quad + \Phi(a_1 \dots a_{p-1}) [\Phi(a_p \dots a_q) - \psi(a_p \dots a_q) \mathbf{1}] \Phi(a_{q+1} \dots a_m). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Assume first that $\psi_{i_k}(a_k) = 0$ and put $r(V) := r((a_1, \dots, a_m)(V))$, $R(V) := R((a_1, \dots, a_m)(V))$. Since $R(V) = 0$ and $r(V) = 0$ for every V with $\{k\} \subset V$ and $|V| > 1$, and moreover $r(\{k\}) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(a_1 \dots a_m) &= \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(m)} \prod_{V \in \pi_i} r(V) \prod_{V \in \pi_o} R(V) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \text{NC}\{1, \dots, k-1\} \\ \sigma \in \text{NC}\{k+1, \dots, m\}}} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi_i} r(V) \prod_{V \in \pi_o} R(V) \right) \Phi_{i_k}(a_k) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\prod_{W \in \sigma_i} r(W) \prod_{V \in \sigma_o} R(V) \right) \\ &= \Phi(a_1 \dots a_{k-1}) \Phi_{i_k}(a_k) \Phi(a_{k+1} \dots a_m). \end{aligned}$$

Applying this to the general case we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi(a_1 \dots a_{k-1} (a_k - \psi_{i_k}(a_k) \mathbf{1}) a_{k+1} \dots a_m) \\ &= \Phi(a_1 \dots a_{k-1}) [\Phi_{i_k}(a_k) - \psi_{i_k}(a_k) \mathbf{1}] \Phi(a_{k+1} \dots a_m), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the first assertion. Combining this with Proposition 2 we get the second assertion. ■

5. Limit theorems. In this section we assume that \mathcal{A} is a fixed complex unital algebra with linear functions $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where \mathcal{B} is a complex algebra with a unit $\mathbf{1}$ and with a norm $\|\cdot\|$, satisfying $\Phi(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$ and $\psi(\mathbf{1}) = 1$, and that (R, r) is the related cumulant pair on \mathcal{A} . Define $(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}, \widehat{\Phi}, \widehat{\psi}) = *_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathcal{A}, \Phi, \psi)$. For $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by (a, i) the embedding of a into the i th factor \mathcal{A} of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$. For example $(a_1, i) \cdot (a_2, i) = (a_1 a_2, i)$. The following results generalise those presented in [S1], [BS] and [BLS].

THEOREM 2. *Let $m \geq 1$ be a fixed integer and assume that for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ elements $a_{1,N}, a_{2,N}, \dots, a_{m,N} \in \mathcal{A}$ are given. Assume that for every nonempty subset $V \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ the limits*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \cdot \Phi \left(\prod_{k \in V} a_{k,N} \right) = Q(V), \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \cdot \psi \left(\prod_{k \in V} a_{k,N} \right) = q(V)$$

exist. Set

$$S_{k,N} = (a_{k,N}, 1) + (a_{k,N}, 2) + \dots + (a_{k,N}, N).$$

Then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\Phi}(S_{1,N} S_{2,N} \dots S_{m,N}) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(m)} \prod_{V \in \pi_i} q(V) \prod_{V \in \pi_o} Q(V)$$

and

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\psi}(S_{1,N} S_{2,N} \dots S_{m,N}) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(m)} \prod_{V \in \pi} q(V).$$

Proof. By induction on m and by Proposition 1 we see that if $\emptyset \neq V \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \cdot R((a_{1,N}, \dots, a_{m,N})(V)) &= Q(V), \\ \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \cdot r((a_{1,N}, \dots, a_{m,N})(V)) &= q(V). \end{aligned}$$

Now consider

$$\widehat{\Phi}(S_{1,N} \dots S_{m,N}) = \sum_{i(1), \dots, i(m) \in \{1, \dots, N\}} \widehat{\Phi}((a_{1,N}, i(1)) \dots (a_{m,N}, i(m))).$$

For a sequence $\mathbf{i} = (i(1), \dots, i(m)) \in \{1, \dots, N\}^m$ we define a partition $\pi = \pi(\mathbf{i})$ of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ by $k \overset{\pi}{\sim} l$ iff $i(k) = i(l)$. Note that if $\pi(\mathbf{i}) = \pi(\mathbf{j}) := \pi$ then

$$\widehat{\Phi}((a_{1,N}, i(1)) \dots (a_{m,N}, i(m))) = \widehat{\Phi}((a_{1,N}, j(1)) \dots (a_{m,N}, j(m))).$$

Denoting this common value by $\widehat{\Phi}(\pi; N)$ we note that if $|\pi| = p$ then there are exactly $A(p, N) := N(N-1) \dots (N-p+1)$ sequences $\mathbf{i} \in \{1, \dots, N\}^m$ with $\pi(\mathbf{i}) = \pi$. Hence

$$\widehat{\Phi}(S_{1,N} \dots S_{m,N}) = \sum_{\pi} A(|\pi|, N) \widehat{\Phi}(\pi; N),$$

where the sum is taken over all partitions π of $\{1, \dots, m\}$. For fixed π we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Phi}(\pi; N) &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \text{NC}(m) \\ \sigma \leq \pi}} \prod_{B \in \sigma} r((a_{1,N}, \dots, a_{m,N})(B)) \prod_{B \in \sigma_{\circ}} R((a_{1,N}, \dots, a_{m,N})(B)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma \leq \pi$ means that σ is a subpartition of π , i.e. every block of σ is a subset of a block of π . Note that every summand is a product of $|\sigma|$ factors. Therefore

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} A(|\pi|, N) \cdot \widehat{\Phi}(\pi; N) = \prod_{V \in \pi_1} q(V) \prod_{V \in \pi_{\circ}} Q(V)$$

if π is noncrossing, and the limit is 0 otherwise. The same proof works for ψ . ■

Denote by $\text{NC}_2(m)$ the class of all partitions $\pi \in \text{NC}(m)$ satisfying $|V| = 2$ for every block $V \in \pi$. Of course if m is odd then $\text{NC}_2(m)$ is empty and a sum over $\pi \in \text{NC}_2(m)$ equals 0.

COROLLARY 1 (Conditionally free central limit theorem). *Let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\Phi(a_k) = 0$, $\psi(a_k) = 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$ and set*

$$S_{k,N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} [(a_k, 1) + \dots + (a_k, N)].$$

Then, for m even,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\Phi}(S_{1,N} \dots S_{m,N}) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}_2(m)} \prod_{\substack{V \in \pi \\ V = \{k,l\}, k < l}} \psi(a_k a_l) \prod_{\substack{V \in \pi_o \\ V = \{k,l\}, k < l}} \Phi(a_k a_l)$$

and

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\psi}(S_{1,N} \dots S_{m,N}) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}_2(m)} \prod_{\substack{V \in \pi \\ V = \{k,l\}, k < l}} \psi(a_k a_l),$$

and both limits are 0 if m is odd.

Proof. Putting $a_{k,N} = (1/\sqrt{N})a_k$ we have $\Phi(a_{k,N}) = 0$, $\psi(a_{k,N}) = 0$, $N \cdot \Phi(a_{k,N} a_{l,N}) = \Phi(a_k a_l)$, $N \cdot \psi(a_{k,N} a_{l,N}) = \psi(a_k a_l)$ and for $V \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $|V| \geq 3$, $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \cdot \Phi(\prod_{k \in V} a_{k,N}) = 0$ and $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \cdot \psi(\prod_{k \in V} a_{k,N}) = 0$. ■

REMARK. Assume that \mathcal{B} is the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_0 , $a_1 = \dots = a_m = a$, and $\Phi(a^2) = A^2$, $0 \leq A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$, $\psi(a^2) = \beta^2$, $\beta > 0$. We know from [BLS] that for $\alpha > 0$ the unique probability measure $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$ on \mathbb{R} satisfying

$$\int t^m d\nu_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}_2(m)} \alpha^{2|\pi_o|} \beta^{2|\pi_i|}$$

for every m is given by

$$\nu_{\alpha,\beta} = c(\alpha, \beta) \left(\delta_{\alpha^2/\sqrt{\alpha^2-\beta^2}} + \delta_{-\alpha^2/\sqrt{\alpha^2-\beta^2}} \right) + \tilde{\nu}_{\alpha,\beta},$$

where

$$c(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha^2 - 2\beta^2}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2} & \text{if } 2\beta^2 \leq \alpha^2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(note that [BLS] erroneously had “ $\frac{1}{4}$ ” instead of “ $\frac{1}{2}$ ”),

$$d\tilde{\nu}_{\alpha,\beta} = \chi_{[-2\beta, 2\beta]}(t) \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\alpha^2 \sqrt{4\beta^2 - t^2}}{\alpha^4 - (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)t^2} dt.$$

For fixed $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and for a continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define

$$C(f; \alpha, \beta) = C_1(f; \alpha, \beta) + C_2(f; \alpha, \beta),$$

where

$$C_1(f; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha^2 - 2\beta^2}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2} \left(f \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}} \right) + f \left(\frac{-\alpha^2}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}} \right) \right) \chi_{[\beta\sqrt{2}, \infty)}(\alpha)$$

$$C_2(f; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-2\beta}^{2\beta} f(t) \frac{\alpha^2 \sqrt{4\beta^2 - t^2}}{\alpha^4 - (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)t^2} dt.$$

Now taking the spectral resolution $A = \int_0^\infty \alpha dE(\alpha)$ of A we can describe our operator-valued limit measure, which has moments

$$\int t^m d\nu_{A,\beta}(t) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}_2(m)} \beta^{2|\pi_i|} A^{2|\pi_o|}$$

by

$$\int f(t) d\nu_{A,\beta}(t) = \int_0^\infty C(f; \alpha, \beta) dE(\alpha).$$

COROLLARY 2 (Conditionally free Poisson limit theorem). *Assume that $a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$ with*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \cdot \underbrace{\Phi(a_N \dots a_N)}_{s \text{ times}} = A, \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \cdot \underbrace{\psi(a_N \dots a_N)}_{s \text{ times}} = \beta$$

for every s with $1 \leq s \leq m$. Then for

$$S_N = (a_N, 1) + \dots + (a_N, N)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\Phi}(\underbrace{S_N \dots S_N}_{m \text{ times}}) &= \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(m)} \beta^{|\pi_i|} A^{|\pi_o|}, \\ \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\psi}(\underbrace{S_N \dots S_N}_{m \text{ times}}) &= \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(m)} \beta^{|\pi|}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For every $\emptyset \neq V \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ we have $q(V) = \beta$ and $Q(V) = A$. ■

REMARK. As before, we can apply the formula provided in [BLS] to find that, in the case of an operator $0 \leq A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ with spectral resolution $A = \int_0^\infty \alpha dE(\alpha)$, the unique operator-valued probability measure on \mathbb{R} with moments

$$\int t^m d\pi_{A,\beta}(t) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(m)} \beta^{|\pi_i|} A^{|\pi_o|}$$

is given by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) d\pi_{A,\beta} = \int_0^\infty P(f; \alpha, \beta) dE(\alpha)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P(f; \alpha, \beta) &= P_1(f; \alpha, \beta) + P_2(f; \alpha, \beta) + P_3(f; \alpha, \beta), \\ P_1(f; \alpha, \beta) &= \frac{1 - \beta}{1 + \alpha - \beta} f(0) \cdot \chi_{[0,1]}(\beta), \\ P_2(f; \alpha, \beta) &= \frac{(\alpha - \beta - \sqrt{\beta})(\alpha - \beta + \sqrt{\beta})}{(\alpha - \beta)(\alpha - \beta + 1)} f\left(\frac{\alpha(\alpha - \beta + 1)}{\alpha - \beta}\right) \cdot \chi_\beta(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

χ_β denotes the characteristic function of the set $[0, \max\{0, \beta - \sqrt{\beta}\}] \cup [\beta + \sqrt{\beta}, \infty)$, and

$$P_3(f; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{1+\beta-2\sqrt{\beta}}^{1+\beta+2\sqrt{\beta}} f(t) \frac{\alpha \sqrt{4\beta - (t - (1 + \beta))^2}}{2t[t(\beta - \alpha) + \alpha(1 - \beta + \alpha)]} dt.$$

6. Free product of pairs of representations. Let \mathcal{A} be a complex unital $*$ -algebra. We will call a functional ψ on \mathcal{A} a *state* if ψ is of the form $\psi(a) = \langle \sigma(a)\xi, \xi \rangle$ for a $*$ -representation σ of \mathcal{A} in a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} and a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{K}$. More generally, if \mathcal{H}_0 is a Hilbert space then an operator-valued function $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ will be called a *state* if Φ is of the form $\Phi(a) = P_0\pi(a)|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$, where π is a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \supseteq \mathcal{H}_0$ and P_0 is the orthogonal projection from \mathcal{H} onto \mathcal{H}_0 . In particular the functions Φ and ψ are completely positive. We are going to show that free product of pairs of states is a pair of states. For this purpose we generalize Voiculescu's construction of the free product representation (see [V, VDN]).

THEOREM 3. *Assume that for every $i \in I$, Φ_i and ψ_i are states on a complex unital algebra \mathcal{A}_i . Then $(\Phi, \psi) = *_{i \in I}(\Phi_i, \psi_i)$ is a pair of states on $\mathcal{A} = *_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$.*

Proof. For $i \in I$ assume that $\psi_i(a) = \langle \sigma_i(a)\xi_i, \xi_i \rangle$ and $\Phi_i(a) = P_0^i \pi_i(a)|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}_i$ where $\pi_i : \mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_i)$, $\sigma_i : \mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}\xi_i \oplus \mathcal{K}_i)$ are $*$ -representations of \mathcal{A}_i and P_0^i is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_i$ onto \mathcal{H}_0 . Now define

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_m \in I \\ i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_m}} \mathcal{K}_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{K}_{i_{m-1}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{i_m} \right).$$

For each $i \in I$ we have the decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_i) \oplus (\mathbb{C}\xi_i \oplus \mathcal{K}_i) \otimes \mathcal{H}(i),$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}(i) = \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_m \in I \\ i \neq i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_m}} \mathcal{K}_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{K}_{i_{m-1}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{i_m} \right).$$

Then we define a $*$ -representation $\tilde{\pi}_i$ of \mathcal{A}_i on \mathcal{H} to act as $\pi_i(a)$ on $\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_i$ and as $\sigma_i(a) \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{H}(i)}$ on $(\mathbb{C}\xi_i \oplus \mathcal{K}_i) \otimes \mathcal{H}(i)$. Having $\tilde{\pi}_i$ defined for each $i \in I$ we define a representation π of $\mathcal{A} = *_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$ by putting $\pi(a) = \tilde{\pi}_{i_1}(a_1) \dots \tilde{\pi}_{i_m}(a_m)$ for $a = a_1 \dots a_m$, $a_k \in \mathcal{A}_{i_k}$, $i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_m$. Obviously, π is a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} . We are going to show that $\Phi(a) = P_0\pi(a)|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Assume that $a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{i_1}, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{A}_{i_m}, i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_m$ and $\psi_{i_1}(a_1) = \dots = \psi_{i_m}(a_m) = 0$. The last condition implies that $\sigma_{i_k}(a_k)\xi_{i_k} \in \mathcal{K}_{i_k}$ and one can check by induction that for $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(a_1 \dots a_m)\xi &= \Phi_{i_1}(a_1) \dots \Phi_{i_m}(a_m)\xi \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \sigma_{i_1}(a_1)\xi_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_{i_{k-1}}(a_{k-1})\xi_{i_{k-1}} \\ &\quad \otimes (\text{Id} - P_0^{i_k})\pi_{i_k}(a_k)\Phi_{i_{k+1}}(a_{k+1}) \dots \Phi_{i_m}(a_m)\xi. \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $P_0\pi(a_1 \dots a_m)|_{\mathcal{H}_0} = \Phi_{i_1}(a_1) \dots \Phi_{i_m}(a_m)$.

Now we can repeat this construction replacing \mathcal{H}_0 by $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{1}$, \mathcal{H}_i by \mathcal{K}_i and π_i by σ_i to obtain the $*$ -representation $\sigma := *_{i \in I} \sigma_i$ corresponding to ψ .

REMARK. It is natural to call the pair (π, σ) the *conditionally free product* of the pairs (π_i, σ_i) and to write $(\pi, \sigma) := *_{i \in I} (\pi_i, \sigma_i)$. Note that if \mathcal{A}_i are C^* -algebras then the states Φ and ψ can be extended to ones on the unital C^* -free product (see [VDN]).

7. Free convolution of pairs of measures. Let \mathcal{H} be a fixed Hilbert space and let $B(\mathcal{H})_+$ denote the class of all nonnegative bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . A function μ defined on the Borel field $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ and with values in $B(\mathcal{H})_+$ is said to be an *operator-valued measure* if for every $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ the map $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \ni E \mapsto \langle \mu(E)\xi, \xi \rangle$ is a measure (see [Sm] for instance). We call μ a *probability measure* if $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = \text{Id}$, and we call μ *compactly supported* if for some compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ we have $\mu(E) = 0$ whenever $E \cap K = \emptyset$. We will denote by $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ the set of all compactly supported operator-valued probability measures $\mu : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})_+$. In particular we define $\Delta_0 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ by putting $\Delta_0(E) = \text{Id}$ if $0 \in E$ and $\Delta_0(E) = 0$ otherwise. We will denote by \mathcal{M} the class of ordinary compactly supported probability measures on \mathbb{R} .

We define the *moment sequence* $\{(A(m), a(m))\}_{m=0}^\infty$ of a pair $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{M}$ by

$$A(m) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m d\mu(x), \quad a(m) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m d\nu(x)$$

(note that the sequences $A(m), a(m)$ uniquely determine the measures μ, ν ; see [Bi]). This, in turn, defines the *cumulant sequence* $\{(R(m), r(m))\}_{m=1}^\infty$ of (μ, ν) by the relations

$$a(m) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(m)} \prod_{V \in \pi} r(|V|), \quad A(m) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(m)} \prod_{V \in \pi_i} r(|V|) \prod_{V \in \pi_o} R(|V|),$$

where, as usual, the factors in the product $\prod_{V \in \pi_o} R(|V|)$ are in the same order as the outer blocks of π .

THEOREM 4. *Assume that $\{(R_k(m), r_k(m))\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is the cumulant sequence of a pair $(\mu_k, \nu_k) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{M}$ for $k \in \{1, 2\}$. Then there exists a unique pair $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{M}$ whose cumulant sequence $\{(R(m), r(m))\}_{m=1}^\infty$ satisfies*

$$R(m) = R_1(m) + R_2(m), \quad r(m) = r_1(m) + r_2(m).$$

Proof. Take unital C^* -algebras $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$, states $\Phi_k : \mathcal{A}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\psi_k : \mathcal{A}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and elements $X_k = X_k^* \in \mathcal{A}_k$ satisfying $\Phi_k(X_k^m) = A_k(m)$, $\psi_k(X_k^m) = a_k(m)$ and take the C^* -unital free product $(\mathcal{A}, \Phi, \psi) = (\mathcal{A}_1, \Phi_1, \psi_1) * (\mathcal{A}_2, \Phi_2, \psi_2)$. Let $(\tilde{R}_k, \tilde{r}_k)$ and (\tilde{R}, \tilde{r}) denote the corresponding cumulant pairs on \mathcal{A}_k and on $\mathcal{A}^0 = \mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{A}$ respectively, so that

$$\underbrace{\tilde{R}_k(X_k, \dots, X_k)}_{m \text{ times}} = R_k(m), \quad \underbrace{\tilde{r}_k(X_k, \dots, X_k)}_{m \text{ times}} = r_k(m).$$

By the definition of \tilde{R} , $X_1 + X_2 \in \mathcal{A}^0$ and

$$\underbrace{\tilde{R}(X_1 + X_2, \dots, X_1 + X_2)}_{m \text{ times}} = R_1(m) + R_2(m),$$

$$\underbrace{\tilde{r}(X_1 + X_2, \dots, X_1 + X_2)}_{m \text{ times}} = r_1(m) + r_2(m).$$

Then (μ, ν) is the unique pair in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{M}$ satisfying

$$\Phi((X_1 + X_2)^m) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m d\mu(x), \quad \psi((X_1 + X_2)^m) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m d\nu(x). \quad \blacksquare$$

Denote the pair (μ, ν) in the theorem by $(\mu_1, \nu_1) \oplus (\mu_2, \nu_2)$. Hence we get a binary operation \oplus on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{M}$ which is associative and commutative, with (Δ_0, δ_0) as the neutral element. It is easy to see that ν is the free convolution of ν_1 and ν_2 in the sense of Voiculescu (cf. [S2, S3]).

Now for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$, with the moment sequence $A(m)$, we define its *boolean cumulant sequence* \tilde{R}_m , $m = 1, 2, \dots$, by the following relations:

$$A(m) = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \text{NC}(m) \\ \pi_1 = \emptyset}} \prod_{V \in \pi} \tilde{R}(|V|),$$

where the order of factors corresponds to that of blocks. Note that for the pair (μ, δ_0) the cumulant sequence is $\{(\tilde{R}(m), 0)\}_{m=1}^\infty$. This leads to the following

COROLLARY 3. *Assume that for $k \in \{1, 2\}$ we have the boolean cumulant sequence $\tilde{R}_k(m)$ of a measure $\mu_k \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$. Then there exists a unique $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ whose boolean cumulant sequence $\tilde{R}(m)$ satisfies $\tilde{R}(m) = \tilde{R}_1(m) + \tilde{R}_2(m)$. \blacksquare*

Following [SW] we call the measure μ the *boolean convolution* of μ_1 and μ_2 and denote it by $\mu_1 \uplus \mu_2$. This binary operation on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H})$ is associative and commutative with Δ_0 as the neutral element. It gains in interest in view of the following

EXAMPLE. Let E and F be the spectral measures of selfadjoint operators A and B respectively. It is easy to see what are the moment sequences:

$$A(m) = \int \lambda^m dE(\lambda) = A^m, \quad B(m) = B^m$$

and the cumulant sequences are:

$$\tilde{R}(m) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } m = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \tilde{S}(m) = \begin{cases} B & \text{if } m = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This implies that $E \uplus F$ is the spectral measure of the sum $A + B$.

References

- [Bi] T. Bisgaard, *Positive definite operator sequences*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 121 (1994), 1185–1191.
- [B1] M. Bożejko, *Positive definite functions on the free group and the noncommutative Riesz product*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (6) 4 (1986), 13–21.
- [B2] —, *Uniformly bounded representations of free groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 377 (1987), 170–186.
- [B3] —, *Positive-definite kernels, length functions on groups and noncommutative von Neumann inequality*, Studia Math. 95 (1989), 107–118.
- [BS] M. Bożejko and R. Speicher, *ψ -independent and symmetrized white noises*, in: Quantum Probability and Related Topics, Vol. VI, L. Accardi (ed.), World Sci., 1991, 219–236.
- [BLS] M. Bożejko, M. Leinert and R. Speicher, *Convolution and limit theorems for conditionally free random variables*, Pacific J. Math. 175 (1996), 357–388.
- [M1] W. Młotkowski, *Free product of representations*, in: Contemp. Math. 206, Amer. Math. Soc., 1987, 145–148.
- [M2] —, *Free probability on algebras with infinitely many states*, Probab. Theory Related Fields 115 (1999), 579–596.
- [Sm] K. Schmüdgen, *On a generalization of the classical moment problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 125 (1987), 461–470.
- [Sh] D. Shlyakhtenko, *Random Gaussian band matrices and freeness with amalgamation*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1996, no. 20, 1013–1025.
- [S1] R. Speicher, *A new example of “independence” and “white noise”*, Probab. Theory Related Fields 84 (1990), 141–159.
- [S2] —, *Multiplicative functions on the lattice of non-crossing partitions and free convolution*, Math. Ann. 298 (1994), 611–628.
- [S3] —, *Combinatorial theory of the free product with amalgamation and operator-valued free probability theory*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 627 (1998).
- [SW] R. Speicher and R. Woroudi, *Boolean convolution*, Fields Inst. Comm. 12 (1997), 267–279.

- [V] D. Voiculescu, *Symmetries of some reduced free product C^* -algebras*, in: Operator Algebras and their Connections with Topology and Ergodic Theory (Buşteni, 1983), Lecture Notes in Math. 1132, Springer, 1985, 556–588.
- [VDN] D. Voiculescu, K. J. Dykema and A. Nica, *Free Random Variables*, CRM Monograph Ser. 1, Amer. Math. Soc., 1992.

Mathematical Institute
University of Wrocław
Pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4
50-384 Wrocław, Poland
E-mail: mlotkow@math.uni.wroc.pl

Received August 7, 2000
Revised version April 10, 2002

(4586)